arXiv:0902.2888v3 [math.GR] 21 Jan 2010

RIGIDITY OF AMALGAMATED FREE PRODUCTS IN MEASURE EQUIVALENCE

YOSHIKATA KIDA

Abstract. A discrete countable group Γ is said to be ME rigid if any discrete countable group which is measure equivalent to Γ is virtually isomorphic to Γ. This paper presents a construction of ME rigid groups given as amalgamated free products of two rigid groups in the sense of measure equivalence. A class of amalgamated free products is introduced, and discrete countable groups which are measure equivalent to a group in that class are investigated.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Discrete measured groupoids 6
3. ME, WOE, and coupling rigidity 8
4. Reduction of self-couplings 13
5. Couplings with unknown groups 17
6. Orbit equivalence rigidity 28
7. Measure equivalence rigidity 31
8. Twisted actions of amalgamated free products 37
9. Examples of ME rigid groups 38
10. Miscellaneous examples 45
11. Additional results 48
References 50

1. Introduction

The aspect of rigidity is one of the major focuses of recent advance in the study of measure equivalence. Among other things, fascinating rigidity is discovered for lattices in a non-compact connected simple Lie group with its center finite and its real rank at least two, and for mapping class groups of non-exceptional compact orientable surfaces (see [9] and [19], respectively). In this paper, we present a construction of rigid groups given as amalgamated free products of two rigid groups, where rigidity is formulated in terms of measure and orbit equivalence. All amalgamated free products in theorems stated in this section are obtained by amalgamating two groups over their infinite subgroups. In particular, free products are not investigated. It is easily shown that in general, free products do not satisfy

---

Date: February 17, 2009, revised on January 21, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F38, 37A20, 37A35.

Key words and phrases. Amalgamated free products; Bass-Serre trees; measure equivalence; orbit equivalence.

such superrigidity even if their factor subgroups are rigid groups mentioned already. We refer to [2] and [15] for rigidity of strong type for free products. We shall recall the definition of measure equivalence. Throughout the paper, we refer to discrete countable groups as discrete groups for simplicity.

Definition 1.1 ([13, 0.5.E])**.** Two discrete groups Γ and Λ are said to be *measure equivalent (ME)* if one has a standard Borel space (Σ, m) with a σ-finite positive measure and a measure-preserving action of $\Gamma \times \Lambda$ on (Σ, m) such that there exist Borel subsets $X, Y \subset \Sigma$ satisfying the equation

$$\Sigma = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma Y = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda X$$

up to m-null sets. In this case, the space (Σ, m) equipped with the action of $\Gamma \times \Lambda$ is called a *coupling* of Γ and Λ. The ratio $m(X)/m(Y)$ is called the *coupling constant* for the coupling (Σ, m). When Γ and Λ are ME, we write $\Gamma \sim_{\mathrm{ME}} \Lambda$.

It is easy to prove that ME defines an equivalence relation between discrete groups (see §2 in [9]). A basic problem is to determine the class of discrete groups which are ME to a given discrete group. It directly follows from the definition that any two lattices in a locally compact second countable group are ME. Any two virtually isomorphic groups are easily shown to be ME, where two discrete groups are said to be *virtually isomorphic* if they are isomorphic up to the operations taking finite index subgroups and taking the quotients by finite normal subgroups. We recommend the reader to consult [12] and [28] for basic knowledge and recent achievements related to measure equivalence.

One purpose of this paper is to describe a discrete group which is ME to an amalgamated free product $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ when some conditions are imposed on the inclusions $A < \Gamma_1$ and $A < \Gamma_2$. It is widely known that one can construct the simplicial tree T, called the Bass-Serre tree, associated with the decomposition of Γ, on which Γ acts by simplicial automorphisms. This tree T gives us a geometric viewpoint in the study of algebraic structure of Γ. The following theorem tells us that in a certain case, if a discrete group Λ is ME to Γ, then Λ also acts on T so that the structure of Λ can be understood through the Bass-Serre theory [27].

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ *be an amalgamated free product of discrete groups such that for each* $i = 1, 2$*,*

- Γ_i *satisfies the property (T); and*
- $|A| = \infty$*,* $[\Gamma_i : A] = \infty$ *and* $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_i}(A) = A$*.*

We denote by T *the Bass-Serre tree associated with the decomposition of* Γ *and suppose that the subgroup of* Γ *consisting of elements trivially acting on* T *is finite. Then the following two assertions hold:*

(i) *If a discrete group* Λ *is ME to* Γ*, then there exists a subgroup* Λ_+ *of* Λ *of index at most two acting on* T *without inversions so that the stabilizer of each simplex* s *of* T *for the action* $\Lambda_+ \curvearrowright T$ *is ME to the stabilizer of* s *for the action* $\Gamma \curvearrowright T$*.*

(ii) *In the assertion (i), if the action* $A \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ *is furthermore ergodic, then* Λ_+ *is decomposed as an amalgamated free product* $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda_1 *_B \Lambda_2$ *with* $\Gamma_i \sim_{\mathrm{ME}} \Lambda_i$ *for each* $i = 1, 2$ *and* $A \sim_{\mathrm{ME}} B$*.*

Given a group G and a subgroup H of G, we define the *left quasi-normalizer* of H in G as the subsemigroup

$$\mathrm{LQN}_G(H) = \{\, g \in G \mid [H : gHg^{-1} \cap H] < \infty \,\}$$

of G containing H. It is easy to check that the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_G(H) = H$ is equivalent to the condition that each orbit for the action $H \curvearrowright (G/H) \setminus \{H\}$ defined by left multiplication consists of infinitely many points.

As a next step, we deduce a superrigidity result in terms of orbit equivalence on the assumption that the factor subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_2 of an amalgamated free product $\Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ hold rigidity in the sense of measure equivalence. There is a close connection between orbit equivalence and measure equivalence, whose details will be reviewed in Section 3.1. We say that a Borel action of a discrete group Γ on a measure space (X, μ) is *f.f.m.p.* if μ is a finite positive measure on X and if the action is essentially free and preserves μ. Two ergodic f.f.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are said to be *orbit equivalent (OE)* if there exists a Borel isomorphism f between conull Borel subsets of X and Y such that $f_*\mu$ and ν are equivalent and the equation $f(\Gamma x) = \Lambda f(x)$ holds for a.e. $x \in X$.

A notable example of rigid groups in measure equivalence is a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group G with its center trivial and its real rank at least two. Furman [9] proves that a discrete group is ME to such a lattice if and only if it is virtually isomorphic to a lattice in G. The following theorem shows superrigidity of an ergodic f.f.m.p. action of an amalgamated free product $\Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ of such lattices Γ_1 and Γ_2, where an ergodicity condition is imposed on the action of A. Let us say that a measure-preserving action of a discrete group A on a measure space is *aperiodic* if any finite index subgroup of A acts on it ergodically.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be an amalgamated free product of discrete groups such that for each $i = 1, 2$,*

- *Γ_i is isomorphic to a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group with its center trivial and its real rank at least two; and*
- *$|A| = \infty$, $[\Gamma_i : A] = \infty$ and $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_i}(A) = A$.*

Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be an ergodic f.f.m.p. action such that the restriction $A \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is aperiodic. If the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is OE to an ergodic f.f.m.p. action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ of a discrete group Λ, then the cocycle $\alpha\colon \Gamma \times X \to \Lambda$ associated with the OE is cohomologous to the cocycle arising from an isomorphism from Γ onto Λ. In particular, the two actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are conjugate.

We refer to Corollary 6.5 for a more general form of this theorem. If the action of A is not assumed to be aperiodic, then the conclusion on the cocycle α does not hold in general. A counterexample can be obtained by twisting an action of either Γ_1 or Γ_2. This topic is discussed in Section 8.

Finally, we construct new examples of ME rigid groups. A discrete group Γ is said to be *ME rigid* if any discrete group which is ME to Γ is virtually isomorphic to Γ. The author [19] proves that the mapping class groups for non-exceptional compact orientable surfaces are ME rigid, and they are the first example of infinite ME rigid groups. We present ME rigid groups given as amalgamated free products of two copies of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, it will be shown that any ergodic f.f.m.p. actions of these groups are superrigid, where no ergodicity condition is imposed on the actions of any proper subgroups.

Theorem 1.4. *Let* Γ *be a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group* G^0 *with its center trivial and its real rank at least two, and let* A *be a subgroup of* Γ *such that*

- $|A| = \infty$, $[\Gamma : A] = \infty$ *and* $\mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A) = A$*; and*
- *the Dirac measure on the neutral element is the only probability measure on* $\mathrm{Comm}_G(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Comm}_G(A)$ *that is invariant under conjugation by each element of* A*, where we put* $G = \mathrm{Aut}(G^0)$.

Then the amalgamated free product $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ *is ME rigid.*

Given a group G and a subgroup Γ of G, we denote by $\mathrm{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ the (relative) commensurator of Γ in G. As an application of this theorem, we obtain the following examples of ME rigid groups. General examples of the same type are presented in Theorems 9.6 and 9.11.

Theorem 1.5. *Let* Γ *and* A *be the groups defined in either of the following two ways:*

(a) *Fix an isomorphism* $f\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ *between real vector spaces so that* $f(\mathbb{Z}^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}^2) = \mathbb{Z}^4$. *Define* Γ *and* A *to be the groups of linear automorphisms on* $\mathbb{R}^4$ *given as follows:*

$$\Gamma = SL(4, \mathbb{Z}), \quad A = f(GL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes GL(2, \mathbb{Z}))f^{-1}.$$

(b) *Put* $\Gamma = SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ *and define* A *to be the group consisting of all matrices in* $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ *both of whose* $(2, 1)$*- and* $(3, 1)$*-entries are zero.*

Then the amalgamated free product $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ *is ME rigid.*

To prove Theorem 1.4, we will propose a useful formulation of rigidity in the setting of ME, which automatically implies not only ME rigidity but also rigidity in terms of OE and lattice embeddings. Given a discrete group Γ and a homomorphism $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$ into a standard Borel group G, we introduce a rigidity property of Γ, called *coupling rigidity*, with respect to the pair (G, π). This rigidity forces the existence of an essentially unique, $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from any self-coupling of Γ (i.e., a coupling of Γ and Γ) into G, where the action of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ on G is given by the formula

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)g = \pi(\gamma_1)g\pi(\gamma_2)^{-1}, \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma,\ g \in G.$$

If Γ is coupling rigid with respect to the pair (G, π), then any self-coupling of Γ can be investigated through the familiar action of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ on G defined above. Thanks to Furman's representation theorem (see Theorem 3.5), this rigidity produces an important consequence. Namely, if Λ is a discrete group which is ME to Γ, then one obtains a useful representation of Λ into G. This provides us with a general principle that investigation of self-couplings of Γ is the first step to understand the structure of Λ. Furman [9] in fact proves the celebrated rigidity theorem for higher rank lattices mentioned already, by combining this representation theorem and Zimmer's cocycle superrigidity theorem. This principle is also followed by Monod-Shalom [22] and by the author [19]. We also prove the theorems stated so far following this principle. In particular, the group Γ in Theorem 1.4 is shown to be coupling rigid with respect to the pair of the commensurator $\mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma})$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$ and the natural homomorphism from Γ into $\mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma})$ associated by conjugation, where $\bar{\Gamma}$ is the quotient of Γ by its finite center. As a consequence of this fact, we deduce ME and OE rigidity results for Γ. We refer to Section 3.2 for an explicit formulation of coupling rigidity and a few consequences of it.

Organization of the paper. Sections 2 and 3 collect basic notation and terminology in the study of measure equivalence, which contain discrete measured groupoids, ME, WOE and the cocycles associated with them. The relationship among them is also reviewed briefly. Section 4 starts the study of self-couplings of the amalgamated free products stated in Theorem 1.2. The main result of this section says that any amalgamated free product Γ in the theorem is coupling rigid with respect to the pair of the automorphism group of the Bass-Serre tree T and the natural representation of Γ into it. It then follows from Furman's representation theorem that a discrete group Λ which is ME to Γ acts on the tree T. Section 5 investigates this action of Λ on T and proves Theorem 1.2. We also present sufficient conditions for the action of Λ on T to be locally cofinite and to be cocompact.

Section 6 proves Theorem 1.3 on superrigidity in terms of OE. Section 7 gives a general criterion for amalgamated free products of rigid groups to be coupling rigid with respect to their commensurators and proves Theorem 1.4. Section 8 presents amalgamated free products which are not coupling rigid with respect to their commensurators. Sections 9 and 10 provide examples of amalgamated free products to which the theorems in the previous three sections are applied. In particular, the former section presents examples of ME rigid groups given as amalgamated free products of two copies of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Based on argument involving algebraic groups, we can find many examples satisfying the assumption in Theorem 1.3. Finally, Section 11 contains a few consequences of coupling rigidity with respect to commensurators and an observation on groups which are ME to free products.

Notation employed throughout the paper. When H is a subgroup of a group G, we write $H < G$. If H is a normal subgroup of G, then we write $H \lhd G$. These symbols are also used in a similar way when G and H are groupoids. When H is a subgroup of a group G, the normalizer and centralizer of H in G are denoted by $\mathrm{N}_G(H)$ and $\mathrm{Z}_G(H)$, respectively. If A and B are subsets of a set, then $A \triangle B$ stands for the symmetric difference of A and B, i.e., the set $(A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$. The cardinality of a set A is denoted by $|A|$. We list below Assumptions writing down conditions imposed on amalgamated free products, and list symbols employed throughout the paper.

LIST OF ASSUMPTIONS AND SYMBOLS

Assumption ($\star$) 13
Assumption ($\circ$) 18
Assumption ($\bullet$) 24
Assumption ($\dagger$) 28
Assumption ($\ddagger$) 31
$\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ 14
$\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ 13
$\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ 10
$\mathrm{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$ 10
$E(T)$ 13
$\mathbf{i}$ 10
$\mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A)$ 13
$V(T)$ 13
$V_1(T)$, $V_2(T)$ 19
$(\mathcal{G})_A$ 6
$\mathcal{G}A$ 6

Acknowledgements. Most of this work was done while the author was affiliated with Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University. He expresses his sincere gratitude to his colleagues and staffs there for their kind help and support in his studies. The manuscript of this paper was written during the stay at Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. The author thanks the institute for giving nice environment and for warm hospitality.

2. Discrete measured groupoids

This section collects basic facts on discrete measured groupoids. We recommend the reader to consult [16] and Chapter XIII, §3 in [29] for basic knowledge of standard Borel spaces and discrete measured groupoids, respectively.

We refer to a standard Borel space with a finite positive measure as a *standard finite measure space*. When the measure is a probability one, we refer to it as a *standard probability space*. Given a discrete measured groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ on a standard finite measure space (X, μ) and a Borel subset $A \subset X$ of positive measure, we denote by

$$(\mathcal{G})_A = \{\, g \in \mathcal{G} \mid r(g), s(g) \in A \,\}$$

the groupoid restricted to A, where $r, s\colon \mathcal{G} \to X$ are the range and source maps, respectively. If A is a Borel subset of X, then $\mathcal{G}A$ stands for the saturation

$$\mathcal{G}A = \{\, r(g) \in X \mid g \in \mathcal{G}, s(g) \in A \,\},$$

which is a Borel subset of X. We say that a discrete measured groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ on a standard finite measure space (X, μ) is *finite* if for a.e. $x \in X$, $r^{-1}(x)$ consists of at most finitely many points. We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is of *infinite type* if for a.e. $x \in X$, $r^{-1}(x)$ consists of infinitely many points.

Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ be a Borel action of a discrete group Γ on a standard Borel space X. One can then equip the product space $\Gamma \times X$ with the following structure of a groupoid:

- The range and source maps are given by $r(\gamma, x) = \gamma x$ and $s(\gamma, x) = x$, respectively, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$.
- The operation of products is given by $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 x)(\gamma_2, x) = (\gamma_1\gamma_2, x)$ for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$.
- (e, x) is the unit element at $x \in X$.
- The inverse of $(\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times X$ is given by $(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma x)$.

We say that a σ-finite positive measure μ on X is *quasi-invariant* for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ if the action preserves the class of μ. In this case, the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is said to be *non-singular*. One can then define a σ-finite positive measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on $\Gamma \times X$ by the formula

$$\tilde{\mu}(A) = \int_X \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_x} \chi_A(g)\, d\mu(x)$$

for a Borel subset $A \subset \Gamma \times X$, where $\mathcal{G}_x = \{\, g \in \mathcal{G} \mid s(g) = x \,\}$ for $x \in X$ and χ_A is the characteristic function on A. It is easy to check that the class of the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ is invariant under the map $\mathcal{G} \ni g \mapsto g^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}$. When $\Gamma \times X$ is equipped with this structure of a groupoid and the measure $\tilde{\mu}$, we call it the *discrete measured groupoid* associated with the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and denote it by $\Gamma \ltimes (X, \mu)$ or by $\Gamma \ltimes X$ when there is no confusion. Note that $\Gamma \ltimes (X, \mu)$ and its quotient equivalence relation $q(\Gamma \ltimes (X, \mu))$ are naturally isomorphic as discrete measured groupoids if the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is essentially free.

There is a close connection between orbit equivalence and isomorphism of two discrete measured groupoids associated with group actions, explained briefly below. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ be ergodic f.f.m.p. actions on standard finite measure spaces, and let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ be the associated groupoids, respectively. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are isomorphic as discrete measured groupoids if and only if the two actions are OE. If there are Borel subsets $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$ of positive measure such that $(\mathcal{G})_A$ and $(\mathcal{H})_B$ are isomorphic, then the two actions are said to be *weakly orbit equivalent (WOE).*

Given an action of a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ on a space S, i.e., a groupoid homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$, one can formulate fixed points for it. For simplicity, we consider only actions of $\mathcal{G}$ which factor through an action of a discrete group.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a discrete measured groupoid on a standard probability space (X, μ), and let S be a standard Borel space. Suppose that we are given a Borel action of a discrete group Γ on S and a groupoid homomorphism $\rho\colon \mathcal{G} \to \Gamma$. A Borel map $\varphi\colon X \to S$ is said to be *invariant* for $\mathcal{G}$ if it satisfies the equation

$$\rho(g)\varphi(s(g)) = \varphi(r(g)) \quad \text{for a.e. } g \in \mathcal{G}.$$

We say that φ is *ρ-invariant* for $\mathcal{G}$ when we specify ρ.

More generally, if A is a Borel subset of X and if a Borel map $\varphi\colon A \to S$ satisfies the above equation for a.e. $g \in (\mathcal{G})_A$, then we say for simplicity that φ is *invariant* for $\mathcal{G}$ although we should say that φ is invariant for $(\mathcal{G})_A$.

We give a context in which one can find invariant Borel maps for groupoids.

Theorem 2.2 ([1]). *Let Γ be a discrete group satisfying the property (T). Suppose that we are given a measure-preserving action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of Γ on a standard probability space, a simplicial tree T having at most countable simplices, and a Borel cocycle $\rho\colon \Gamma \times X \to H$, where H is the simplicial automorphism group of T equipped with the standard Borel structure induced from the pointwise convergence topology. Let $S(T)$ be the set of simplices of T, on which H naturally acts. Then there exists a Borel map $\varphi\colon X \to S(T)$ satisfying the following equation:*

$$\rho(\gamma, x)\varphi(x) = \varphi(\gamma x) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma,\ \textit{a.e. } x \in X.$$

Finally, we present an observation on invariant Borel maps for groupoids, which will be used repeatedly in Section 4.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a discrete measured groupoid on a standard probability space (X, μ). Suppose that we are given a Borel action of a discrete group Γ on a standard Borel space S and a groupoid homomorphism $\rho\colon \mathcal{G} \to \Gamma$. If $A \subset X$ is a Borel subset and if $\varphi\colon A \to S$ is a ρ-invariant Borel map for $\mathcal{G}$, then φ extends to a ρ-invariant Borel map from $\mathcal{G}A$ into S.*

Proof. By using 18.14 in [16], one can find a Borel map $f\colon \mathcal{G}A \to \mathcal{G}$ such that

- $s(f(x)) = x$ and $r(f(x)) \in A$ for a.e. $x \in \mathcal{G}A$; and
- $f(x) = e_x \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ for a.e. $x \in A$,

where $\mathcal{G}_x^x = \{\, g \in \mathcal{G} \mid r(g) = s(g) = x \,\}$ is the isotropy group on x and e_x is the unit element of $\mathcal{G}_x^x$. It is easy to check that the map $\mathcal{G}A \ni x \mapsto \rho(f(x))\varphi(x) \in S$ is ρ-invariant for $\mathcal{G}$. $\square$

3. ME, WOE, AND COUPLING RIGIDITY

The first subsection reviews a connection between ME and WOE in use of discrete measured groupoids. This is originally discussed in [10] in use of discrete measured equivalence relations. A formulation of it in terms of groupoids makes it possible to establish one-to-one correspondence between couplings and (conjugacy classes of) isomorphisms which are defined between groupoids associated with two group actions. The second subsection introduces coupling rigidity for discrete groups, which clarifies a significant role of Furman's representation theorem in deducing diverse superrigidity results. In particular, we discuss some consequences of coupling rigidity for discrete groups with respect to their commensurators.

3.1. Relationship between ME and WOE.

Let (Σ, m) be a coupling of discrete groups Γ and Λ. Given Borel subsets $X, Y \subset \Sigma$ with $\bigsqcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\gamma Y = \bigsqcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\lambda X = \Sigma$ up to m-null sets, one can define actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ as follows: For $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$, there exists a unique $\alpha(\gamma, x) \in \Lambda$ such that $(\gamma, \alpha(\gamma, x))x \in X$ since X is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$. It is easy to see that the map $(\gamma, x) \mapsto (\gamma, \alpha(\gamma, x))x$ defines an action of Γ on X which is measure-preserving with respect to the restriction of m to X. To distinguish this action and the original action of Γ on Σ, we use a dot for this new action, that is, we denote $(\gamma, \alpha(\gamma, x))x$ by $\gamma \cdot x$. The map $\alpha\colon \Gamma \times X \to \Lambda$ is called the *ME cocycle* (associated with X) and it satisfies the cocycle identity:

$$\alpha(\gamma_1\gamma_2, x) = \alpha(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \cdot x)\alpha(\gamma_2, x), \quad \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma,\ \text{a.e. } x \in X.$$

We can define an action of Λ on Y in a similar way and denote the ME cocycle associated with Y by $\beta\colon \Lambda \times Y \to \Gamma$. One can choose fundamental domains X, Y satisfying the property in the following lemma, which makes it much easier to construct an isomorphism between (restrictions of) the two discrete measured groupoids $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \ltimes X$ and $\mathcal{H} = \Lambda \ltimes Y$.

Lemma 3.1 ([20, Lemma 2.27])**.** *In the above notation, one can choose X and Y so that the intersection $Z = X \cap Y$ satisfies the following two conditions:*

- *$\Gamma \cdot Z = X$ up to null sets when Z is regarded as a subset of X;*
- *$\Lambda \cdot Z = Y$ up to null sets when Z is regarded as a subset of Y.*

Remark that replacing fundamental domains for the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ corresponds to exchanging the ME cocycles into ones cohomologous to them. Therefore, this replacing does not essentially affect the problem considering the coupling $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$. Let us define the groupoid homomorphisms $f\colon (\mathcal{G})_Z \to (\mathcal{H})_Z$ and $g\colon (\mathcal{H})_Z \to (\mathcal{G})_Z$ by the formulas

$$f(\gamma, x) = (\alpha(\gamma, x), x), \quad g(\lambda, y) = (\beta(\lambda, y), y)$$

for $(\gamma, x) \in (\mathcal{G})_Z$ and $(\lambda, y) \in (\mathcal{H})_Z$.

Proposition 3.2 ([20, Proposition 2.29])**.** *In the above notation, we have $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}$ and $f \circ g = \mathrm{id}$.*

Conversely, given an isomorphism between (restrictions of) two discrete measured groupoids associated with measure-preserving actions of groups on standard finite measure spaces, one can construct the corresponding coupling (see Lemma 2.30 in [20]).

3.2. **Coupling rigidity and Furman's representation theorem.** Let G be a standard Borel group and Γ, Λ discrete groups. Given homomorphisms $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$ and $\rho\colon \Lambda \to G$, we denote by (G, π, ρ) the Borel space G equipped with the action of $\Gamma \times \Lambda$ defined by

$$(\gamma, \lambda)g = \pi(\gamma)g\rho(\lambda)^{-1}, \quad g \in G,\ \gamma \in \Gamma,\ \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Let Σ be a coupling of Γ and Λ, and let $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to S$ be a Borel map into a standard Borel space S on which $\Gamma \times \Lambda$ acts. We say that Φ is *almost* $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$*-equivariant* if we have the equation

$$\Phi((\gamma, \lambda)x) = (\gamma, \lambda)\Phi(x), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma,\ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda,\ \text{a.e. } x \in \Sigma.$$

Definition 3.3. Let Γ be a discrete group, G a standard Borel group and $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$ a homomorphism. We say that Γ is *coupling rigid* with respect to the pair (G, π) if

(a) for any self-coupling Σ of Γ, there exists an almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (G, \pi, \pi)$; and
(b) the Dirac measure on the neutral element of G is the only probability measure on G that is invariant under conjugation by any element of $\pi(\Gamma)$.

When π is understood from the context, Γ is simply said to be coupling rigid with respect to G.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the above condition (b).

Lemma 3.4. *Let Γ be a discrete group, G a standard Borel group and $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$ a homomorphism satisfying the condition (b) in Definition 3.3. Let Σ be a self-coupling of Γ. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *An almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Σ into (G, π, π) is essentially unique if it exists.*
(ii) *Let Γ' and Γ'' be finite index subgroups of Γ. Then any almost $(\Gamma' \times \Gamma'')$-equivariant Borel map from Σ into (G, π, π) is almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant.*

Proof. Let Φ and Ψ be almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel maps from Σ into (G, π, π). We note that the map $x \mapsto \Phi(x)\Psi(x)^{-1}$ is invariant under the action of $\{e\} \times \Gamma$ and thus induces a finite positive measure on G which is invariant under conjugation by any element of $\pi(\Gamma)$. One then immediately obtains the equation $\Phi(x) = \Psi(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. This proves the assertion (i). We refer to Lemma 5.8 in [19] for the proof of the assertion (ii). □

The following theorem states a major consequence of coupling rigidity and is applied to getting information on an unknown group Λ which is ME to a given group Γ.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Γ be a discrete group and suppose that Γ is coupling rigid with respect to a pair (G, π) of a standard Borel group G and a homomorphism $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$. Let Σ be a coupling of Γ and a discrete group Λ. Then there exist*

- *a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda \to G$; and*
- *an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (G, \pi, \rho)$.*

In addition, if $\ker \pi$ is finite and there is a Borel fundamental domain for the action of $\pi(\Gamma)$ on G defined by left multiplication, then ρ can be chosen so that $\ker \rho$ is finite.

Proof. Since this theorem is essentially proved by Furman [9], we give only a sketch of the proof. Let us consider the actions of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ and $\Lambda \times \Lambda$ on $\Sigma \times \Lambda \times \Sigma$ defined by the formulas

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(x, \lambda, y) = (\gamma_1 x, \lambda, \gamma_2 y),$$
$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)(x, \lambda, y) = (\lambda_1 x, \lambda_1 \lambda \lambda_2^{-1}, \lambda_2 y)$$

for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ and $x, y \in \Sigma$, respectively. We denote by Ω the quotient space of $\Sigma \times \Lambda \times \Sigma$ by the action of $\Lambda \times \Lambda$. The action of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ induces an action $\Gamma \times \Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega$, which defines a self-coupling of Γ. By assumption, there exists an almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map $\Psi \colon \Omega \to (G, \pi, \pi)$. It will be shown that this Ψ satisfies the equation

$$\Psi([x, \lambda, y]) = \Phi(x)\rho(\lambda)\Phi(y)^{-1}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda, \text{ a.e. } (x, y) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma,$$

where ρ and Φ are maps in the theorem, and $[x, \lambda, y]$ stands for the equivalence class of $(x, \lambda, y) \in \Sigma \times \Lambda \times \Sigma$ in Ω.

The above expected equation inspires us to prove that the Borel map $F \colon \Sigma^3 \to G$ defined by the $F(x, y, z) = \Psi([x, e, z])\Psi([y, e, z])^{-1}$ for $(x, y, z) \in \Sigma^3$ is independent of the third variable z. Indeed, one can prove it by using the condition (b) in Definition 3.3. It then follows that if we define two Borel maps $\rho \colon \Lambda \to G$, $\Phi \colon \Sigma \to G$ by the formulas

$$\rho(\lambda) = \Psi([x_0, \lambda, z])\Psi([x_0, e, z])^{-1}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda$$
$$\Phi(x) = \Psi([x_0, e, x])^{-1}, \quad x \in \Sigma$$

with an appropriate $x_0 \in \Sigma$, then ρ is independent of z and these two maps are desired ones. The expected equation in the previous paragraph follows from uniqueness of an almost equivariant Borel map from a self-coupling of Γ into (G, π, π). The latter assertion of the theorem is verified along the same line as the proof of Lemma 6.1 in [9]. □

Given a discrete group Γ and a pair (G, π) with $\ker \pi$ trivial, let us give a necessary condition for Γ to be coupling rigid with respect to (G, π). This condition is formulated in terms of the commensurator of Γ defined as follows.

Definition 3.6. The *(abstract) commensurator* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ of a discrete group Γ is the group of all isomorphisms between finite index subgroups of Γ up to the equivalence relation so that two such isomorphisms are equivalent if there exists a finite index subgroup of Γ on which they are equal. If $f_1 \colon \Gamma_1' \to \Gamma_1''$ and $f_2 \colon \Gamma_2' \to \Gamma_2''$ are isomorphisms between finite index subgroups of Γ, then the product of the two elements of $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ represented by f_1 and f_2 is represented by the composition $f_1 \circ f_2$ defined on $f_2^{-1}(\Gamma_1' \cap \Gamma_2'')$. We denote by $\mathbf{i} \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ the natural homomorphism associating the inner automorphism of Γ to each element of Γ.

Suppose that Γ is a subgroup of a discrete group G. The *(relative) commensurator* of Γ in G, denoted by $\mathrm{Comm}_G(\Gamma)$, is the subgroup of G consisting of all elements $g \in G$ such that both $[\Gamma : g\Gamma g^{-1} \cap \Gamma]$ and $[g\Gamma g^{-1} : g\Gamma g^{-1} \cap \Gamma]$ are finite.

To write down a few basic properties of $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbf{i}$, we introduce the following terminology. It is easy to check the subsequent lemma.

Definition 3.7. When we are given a group G and a subgroup Γ of G, we say that G is *ICC with respect to* Γ if the set

$$\{ \gamma g \gamma^{-1} \in G \mid \gamma \in \Gamma \}$$

consists of infinitely many elements for each non-trivial element g of G. If G is ICC with respect to G itself, then G is simply said to be *ICC*.

Lemma 3.8. *Let Γ be a discrete group. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) *The homomorphism $\mathbf{i}\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is injective if and only if Γ is ICC.*
(ii) *If Γ is finitely generated, then $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is countable.*
(iii) *If Γ is ICC, then $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is ICC with respect to $\mathbf{i}(\Gamma)$.*

The next lemma shows us a necessary condition for a discrete group Γ to be coupling rigid with respect to a pair (G, π), which says that $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is naturally contained in G.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that a discrete group Γ is coupling rigid with respect to the pair (G, π) of a standard Borel group G and a homomorphism $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$ with $\ker \pi$ trivial. Then there exists an isomorphism $\bar{\pi}\colon \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma) \to \mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma))$ satisfying the equation*

$$\pi(f(\gamma)) = \bar{\pi}(f)\pi(\gamma)\bar{\pi}(f)^{-1}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma'$$

for any isomorphism $f\colon \Gamma' \to \Gamma''$ between finite index subgroups of Γ.

Proof. Note that Γ is ICC by the condition (b) in Definition 3.3. For each $g \in \mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma))$, the isomorphism $\pi^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Ad}g \circ \pi$ between finite index subgroups of Γ defines an element of $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$. This map $g \mapsto \pi^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Ad}g \circ \pi$ defines a natural homomorphism $i\colon \mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma)) \to \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

Each element $f \in \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ associates a self-coupling Σ_f of Γ as follows: Let Γ' and Γ'' be finite index subgroups of Γ between which f is an isomorphism. Let Σ_f^0 be the countable Borel space Γ'' equipped with the counting measure. We define an action $\Gamma' \times \Gamma'' \curvearrowright \Sigma_f^0$ by the formula

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\gamma = f(\gamma_1)\gamma\gamma_2^{-1}, \quad \gamma_1 \in \Gamma',\ \gamma, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma''.$$

Let $\Gamma \times \Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma_f$ be the self-coupling defined by the action induced from the action $\Gamma' \times \Gamma'' \curvearrowright \Sigma_f^0$.

Since Γ is coupling rigid with respect to (G, π), there exists an essentially unique, almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma_f \to (G, \pi, \pi)$. Let $e \in \Sigma_f^0 \subset \Sigma_f$ be the neutral element and put $g = \Phi(e)^{-1}$. It then follows that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma'$, the equation $\pi(\gamma)g^{-1} = \Phi(f(\gamma)) = g^{-1}\pi(f(\gamma))$ holds, and we have the equation

$$\pi(f(\gamma)) = g\pi(\gamma)g^{-1}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma'.$$

We then see that the map $\bar{\pi}\colon \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma) \to \mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma))$ defined by $f \mapsto g$ in the above notation is a homomorphism, whose inverse is equal to the homomorphism i constructed above. $\square$

This lemma implies that $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is the smallest group with respect to which Γ can be coupling rigid. When Γ is coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$, one obtains several superrigidity results for Γ via Furman's representation theorem as follows. These results are essentially proved in [17] and [19]. Other consequences of coupling rigidity with respect to $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ are presented in Section 11.

Proposition 3.10. *Let Γ be an ICC discrete group such that $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is countable. If Γ is coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$, then the following assertions hold:*

(i) Γ *is ME rigid, i.e., if* Γ *is ME to a discrete group* Λ*, then the two groups are virtually isomorphic.*

(ii) *Any ergodic f.f.m.p. action of* Γ *is superrigid, i.e., if an ergodic f.f.m.p. action* $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ *is WOE to an ergodic f.f.m.p. action* $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ *of a discrete group* Λ*, then the two actions are virtually conjugate.*

(iii) *If two aperiodic f.f.m.p. actions* $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, $\Gamma \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ *are WOE, then they are conjugate.*

A sufficient condition for an ICC group Γ to be coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is given in the following:

Lemma 3.11. *Let* (Σ, m) *be a self-coupling of an ICC discrete group* Γ*. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ *is countable and that we have*

- *a countable Borel space* C *on which* $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ *acts so that each of the subgroups* $\Gamma \times \{e\}$ *and* $\{e\} \times \Gamma$ *acts freely; and*
- *an almost* $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$*-equivariant Borel map* $\Phi_0 \colon \Sigma \to C$*.*

Then there exists an essentially unique, almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$*-equivariant Borel map from* Σ *into* $(\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma), \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$*.*

Proof. Choose (Σ, m), C and $\Phi_0 \colon \Sigma \to C$ in the assumption. We equip the measure $(\Phi_0)_* m$ with C, and we may assume that each point of C has positive measure. It is then clear that C is a self-coupling of Γ. To distinguish the two actions of Γ on Σ, let us use the following notation. Given any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we set

$$L(\gamma) = (\gamma, e) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma, \quad R(\gamma) = (e, \gamma) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma$$

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where e is the neutral element of Γ.

We claim that there exists a $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant map $\Psi \colon C \to (\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma), \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$. Pick $c \in C$ and choose a fundamental domain X for the action $R(\Gamma) \curvearrowright C$ containing c. Let $\alpha \colon \Gamma \times X \to \Gamma$ be the associated ME cocycle. We denote by Γ_c the stabilizer of c for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$. It is then easy to see that Γ_c is a finite index subgroup of Γ and that the restriction of α to $\Gamma_c \times \{c\}$, denoted by α_c, gives a homomorphism from Γ_c into Γ, which is independent of the choice of X. Similarly, choose a fundamental domain Y for the action $L(\Gamma) \curvearrowright C$ containing c. Let $\beta \colon \Gamma \times Y \to \Gamma$ be the ME cocycle and Γ'_c the stabilizer of c for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$. The restriction of β to $\Gamma'_c \times \{c\}$, denoted by β_c, then defines a homomorphism from Γ'_c into Γ, which is independent of the choice of Y. It is easy to check that both of the compositions $\alpha_c \circ \beta_c$ and $\beta_c \circ \alpha_c$ are equal to the inclusions. This implies that α_c and β_c define elements of $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ and that β_c is the inverse of α_c in $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

Let us define a map $\Psi \colon C \to \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ by putting $\Psi(c) = (\alpha_c)^{-1} = \beta_c$. We prove that Ψ is $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant. Choose any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $c \in C$. Let $X, Y \subset C$ be fundamental domains for the actions $R(\Gamma) \curvearrowright C$, $L(\Gamma) \curvearrowright C$ containing c, respectively. Let $\alpha \colon \Gamma \times X \to \Gamma$ and $\beta \colon \Gamma \times Y \to \Gamma$ be the associated ME cocycles. Since $L(\gamma)Y$ is also a fundamental domain for the action $L(\Gamma) \curvearrowright C$, one can construct the ME cocycle $\beta^\gamma \colon \Gamma \times L(\gamma)Y \to \Gamma$. This cocycle β^γ satisfies the equation

$$\beta^\gamma(\lambda, L(\gamma)y) = \gamma\beta(\lambda, y)\gamma^{-1}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \Gamma, \text{ a.e. } y \in Y$$

because $L(\gamma\beta(\lambda, y)\gamma^{-1})R(\lambda)L(\gamma)y = L(\gamma)L(\beta(\lambda, y))R(\lambda)y$ belongs to $L(\gamma)Y$. The above equation implies that $\Psi(L(\gamma)c)$ is equal to $\mathbf{i}(\gamma)\Psi(c)$. The map Ψ is hence equivariant under the action of $L(\Gamma)$. The equivariance under the action of $R(\Gamma)$ can be proved in a similar way. Composing the map Φ_0 with Ψ, we obtain an almost

$(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma), \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$. Essential uniqueness of Φ follows from Lemma 3.4 (i) and Lemma 3.8 (iii). $\square$

Finally, we present examples of groups which hold coupling rigidity with respect to some pairs. The proof of the following theorem is due to Furman [9], which relies on Zimmer's cocycle superrigidity theorem [30].

Theorem 3.12 ([9]). *Let* Γ *be a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group* G *with its center finite and its real rank at least two. Then* Γ *is coupling rigid with respect to the pair* $(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G), \imath)$*, where* $\imath\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ *is the restriction to* Γ *of the natural homomorphism from* G *into* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$*.*

It is known that the mapping class group of a non-exceptional compact orientable surface S is coupling rigid with respect to the automorphism group of the complex of curves for S (see [19]).

4. Reduction of self-couplings

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be an amalgamated free product and T the Bass-Serre tree associated with the decomposition of Γ. This section proves that Γ is coupling rigid with respect to the simplicial automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ of T when several conditions are imposed on the subgroups Γ_1, Γ_2 and A. This coupling rigidity gives us useful information on a discrete group Λ which is ME to Γ thanks to Furman's representation theorem 3.5. A detailed study of such a Λ will be performed in Section 5. The following collects conditions on the factor subgroups Γ_1, Γ_2 and the inclusions $A < \Gamma_1$ and $A < \Gamma_2$ so that coupling rigidity of Γ holds.

Assumption $(\star)$: For each $i = 1, 2$, let Γ_i be a discrete group and A_i a subgroup of Γ_i such that

- Γ_i satisfies the property (T); and
- $|A_i| = \infty$, $[\Gamma_i : A_i] = \infty$ and $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_i}(A_i) = A_i$.

Let $\phi\colon A_1 \to A_2$ be an isomorphism and set the amalgamated free product

$$\Gamma = \langle\, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \mid A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2 \,\rangle.$$

We denote by A the subgroup of Γ corresponding to $A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2$. Let T be the Bass-Serre tree associated with the decomposition of Γ and $\imath\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ the homomorphism arising from the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright T$. We suppose that $\ker \imath$ is finite.

Let us explain the notation and terminology in the above assumption. Given a group Γ and a subgroup A of Γ, we put

$$\mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A) = \{\, \gamma \in \Gamma \mid [A : \gamma A \gamma^{-1} \cap A] < \infty \,\},$$

called the *left quasi-normalizer* of A in Γ, which is a subsemigroup of Γ containing A. We say that A is *left-quasi-normalized by itself* in Γ when the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A) = A$ holds. We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ the automorphism group of T equipped with the standard Borel structure associated with the pointwise convergence topology.

We shall review basic properties of the Bass-Serre tree associated with an amalgamated free product $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$. We define a simplicial tree T as follows. Let $V(T) = \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma/\Gamma_2$ be the set of vertices of T, and let $E(T) = \Gamma/A$ be the set of edges of T. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the two end points of the edge $\gamma A \in E(T)$ are given by $\gamma\Gamma_1, \gamma\Gamma_2 \in V(T)$. It follows from I.4.1 in [27] that this in fact defines a connected tree and Γ acts on T as simplicial automorphisms by left multiplication.

Let $v_i \in V(T)$ be the vertex corresponding to $\Gamma_i \in \Gamma/\Gamma_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. The stabilizer of v_i in Γ is equal to Γ_i, and there is a Γ_i-equivariant one-to-one correspondence between Γ_i/A and the link $\mathrm{Lk}(v_i)$ of v_i. In particular, if A is of infinite index in Γ_i, then $\mathrm{Lk}(v_i)$ consists of infinitely many vertices. Note that the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_1}(A) = A$ is equivalent to the condition that each orbit for the action $A \curvearrowright \mathrm{Lk}(v_1) \setminus \{v_2\}$ consists of infinitely many elements. One can say the same thing for the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_2}(A) = A$ and the action $A \curvearrowright \mathrm{Lk}(v_2) \setminus \{v_1\}$.

We introduce an orientation on T as follows: For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $\gamma\Gamma_1, \gamma\Gamma_2 \in V(T)$ be the origin and terminal of the edge $\gamma A \in E(T)$, respectively. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ be the group of simplicial automorphisms of T preserving this orientation. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ is then a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ with its index at most two, and it consists of automorphisms of T without inversions.

The following lemma proves one of the requirement for coupling rigidity of Γ with respect to $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$.

Lemma 4.1. *On Assumption* $(\star)$*, the Dirac measure on the neutral element is the only probability measure on* $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ *that is invariant under conjugation by each element of* $\imath(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ which is invariant under conjugation by each element of $\imath(\Gamma)$. For each $v, u \in V(T)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(v, u)$ the Borel subset of $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ consisting of all automorphisms $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ with $f(v) = u$ and denote by Γ_v the stabilizer of v in Γ. The equations $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T) = \bigsqcup_{u\in V(T)} \mathrm{Aut}(v, u)$ and $\imath(\gamma)\mathrm{Aut}(v, u)\imath(\gamma)^{-1} = \mathrm{Aut}(v, \gamma u)$ then hold for each $v, u \in V(T)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_v$. On Assumption $(\star)$, any orbit for the action $\Gamma_v \curvearrowright V(T)$ other than $\{v\}$ consists of infinitely many vertices since A is a subgroup of infinite index in both Γ_1 and Γ_2. Therefore, μ is supported on $\mathrm{Aut}(v, v)$ for each $v \in V(T)$, and this implies the lemma. □

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem. Combining Furman's representation theorem 3.5, we obtain the subsequent corollary, which is the first step to study discrete groups which are ME to Γ.

Theorem 4.2. *On Assumption* $(\star)$*,* Γ *is coupling rigid with respect to the pair* $(\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath)$.

Corollary 4.3. *On Assumption* $(\star)$*, let* Σ *be a coupling of* Γ *and a discrete group* Λ*. Then there exist a homomorphism* $\rho\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ *and an almost* $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$*-equivariant Borel map* $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \rho)$.

Theorem 4.2 is a direct consequence of the following Theorem 4.4. In the rest of this section, we fix the following notation: Let $\Gamma = \langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \mid A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2 \rangle$ be the amalgamated free product in Assumption $(\star)$ and denote by A the subgroup of Γ corresponding to $A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2$. Let $\Lambda = \langle \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \mid B_1 \simeq_\chi B_2 \rangle$ be another amalgamated free product defined by two pairs of groups $B_1 < \Lambda_1$ and $B_2 < \Lambda_2$ and an isomorphism $\chi\colon B_1 \to B_2$ such that the two pairs of groups satisfy the two conditions in Assumption $(\star)$ when Γ_i and A_i are replaced by Λ_i and B_i, respectively, for each $i = 1, 2$. We denote by B the subgroup of Λ corresponding to $B_1 \simeq_\chi B_2$. Let T_Γ and T_Λ be the Bass-Serre trees associated with the decompositions of Γ and Λ, respectively. There are natural homomorphisms $\imath\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T_\Gamma)$ and $\jmath\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T_\Lambda)$. Suppose that both $\ker \imath$ and $\ker \jmath$ are finite. Let $\mathrm{Isom}(T_\Lambda, T_\Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Isom}(T_\Gamma, T_\Lambda)$) denote the set of simplicial isomorphisms from T_Λ onto T_Γ

(resp. from T_Γ onto T_Λ) equipped with the standard Borel structure associated with the pointwise convergence topology.

Theorem 4.4. *In the above notation, let* Σ *be a coupling of* Γ *and* Λ*. Then there exists an essentially unique, almost* $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$*-equivariant Borel map* $\Phi\colon\Sigma\to\mathrm{Isom}(T_\Lambda,T_\Gamma)$*, where the almost* $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$*-equivariance means that the following equation holds:*

$$\Phi((\gamma,\lambda)x)=\imath(\gamma)\Phi(x)\jmath(\lambda)^{-1},\quad \forall\gamma\in\Gamma,\ \forall\lambda\in\Lambda,\ \textit{a.e. } x\in\Sigma.$$

Proof. Let us fix the notation. Choose fundamental domains $X\subset\Sigma$ for the action $\Lambda\curvearrowright\Sigma$ and $Y\subset\Sigma$ for the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright\Sigma$ so that the intersection $Z=X\cap Y$ satisfies the two equations $\Gamma\cdot Z=X$, $\Lambda\cdot Z=Y$ up to null sets (see Lemma 3.1). Let $\alpha\colon\Gamma\times X\to\Lambda$ and $\beta\colon\Lambda\times Y\to\Gamma$ be the associated ME cocycles. We put $\mathcal{G}=\Gamma\ltimes X$ and $\mathcal{H}=\Lambda\ltimes Y$. Recall that the identity map on Z defines a groupoid isomorphism $f\colon(\mathcal{G})_Z\to(\mathcal{H})_Z$ (see Proposition 3.2). For each $s\in V(T_\Gamma)\cup E(T_\Gamma)$, let Γ_s denote the stabilizer of s in Γ and put $\mathcal{G}_s=\Gamma_s\ltimes X$. Define Λ_t and $\mathcal{H}_t$ for each $t\in V(T_\Lambda)\cup E(T_\Lambda)$ in a similar way. The following proof is in part based on the author's argument in [19]. The main task is to investigate what kinds of subgroupoids of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ correspond to each other via the isomorphism f. We first show that the theorem can be deduced from the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4.5. *For each* $v\in V(T_\Gamma)$*, one can find a countable Borel partition* $Z=\bigsqcup_n Z_n$ *and* $u_n\in V(T_\Lambda)$ *satisfying the following equation:*

$$f((\mathcal{G}_v)_{Z_n})=(\mathcal{H}_{u_n})_{Z_n},\quad\forall n.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let us define a Borel map* $\varphi\colon Z\times V(T_\Gamma)\to V(T_\Lambda)$ *by putting* $\varphi(x,v)=u_n$ *if* $x\in Z_n$ *in the notation of Lemma 4.5. Then the map* $\varphi(x,\cdot)\colon V(T_\Gamma)\to V(T_\Lambda)$ *defines a simplicial isomorphism from* T_Γ *onto* T_Λ *for a.e.* $x\in Z$*. We denote the isomorphism* $\varphi(x,\cdot)$ *by* $\varphi(x)$*.*

Lemma 4.7. *The map* $\varphi\colon X\to\mathrm{Isom}(T_\Gamma,T_\Lambda)$ *constructed in Lemma 4.6 satisfies the equation*

$$\varphi(\gamma\cdot x)\imath(\gamma)\varphi(x)^{-1}=\jmath\circ\alpha(\gamma,x)$$

for any $\gamma\in\Gamma$ *and a.e.* $x\in Z$ *with* $\gamma\cdot x\in Z$*.*

Assuming these three lemmas, we prove the theorem. Note that Σ is identified with the space $X\times\Lambda$ as a measure space on which $\Gamma\times\Lambda$ acts, where the action $\Gamma\times\Lambda\curvearrowright X\times\Lambda$ is defined by the formula

$$(\gamma,\lambda)(x,\lambda')=(\gamma\cdot x,\alpha(\gamma,x)\lambda'\lambda^{-1}),\quad\gamma\in\Gamma,\ \lambda,\lambda'\in\Lambda,\ x\in X.$$

We first define a Borel map Φ from the subset $X\times\{e\}$ of $\Sigma=X\times\Lambda$ into $\mathrm{Isom}(T_\Lambda,T_\Gamma)$, which will be extended to a map defined on the whole space Σ so that it is almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant. For each $x\in X$, we put $\Phi(x,e)=\varphi(x)^{-1}$. Choose $\gamma_1,\gamma_2\in\Gamma$, $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\Lambda$ and $x_1,x_2\in Z$ $(\subset X)$ such that $\gamma_1\cdot x_1,\gamma_2\cdot x_2\in Z$ and the equation

$$(\gamma_1,\lambda_1)(x_1,e)=(\gamma_2,\lambda_2)(x_2,e)$$

holds in Σ. To extend Φ to a map defined on the whole space Σ, it is enough to check that $\imath(\gamma_1)\varphi(x_1)^{-1}\jmath(\lambda_1)^{-1}=\imath(\gamma_2)\varphi(x_2)^{-1}\jmath(\lambda_2)^{-1}$. The above equation is equivalent to the equation

$$(\gamma_1\cdot x_1,\alpha(\gamma_1,x_1)\lambda_1^{-1})=(\gamma_2\cdot x_2,\alpha(\gamma_2,x_2)\lambda_2^{-1}).$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\imath(\gamma_1)\varphi(x_1)^{-1}\jmath(\lambda_1)^{-1} &= \imath(\gamma_1)\imath(\gamma_1)^{-1}\varphi(\gamma_1\cdot x_1)^{-1}\jmath\circ\alpha(\gamma_1,x_1)\jmath(\lambda_1)^{-1}\\ &= \varphi(\gamma_2\cdot x_2)^{-1}\jmath\circ\alpha(\gamma_2,x_2)\jmath(\lambda_2)^{-1}\\ &= \imath(\gamma_2)\imath(\gamma_2)^{-1}\varphi(\gamma_2\cdot x_2)^{-1}\jmath\circ\alpha(\gamma_2,x_2)\jmath(\lambda_2)^{-1}\\ &= \imath(\gamma_2)\varphi(x_2)^{-1}\jmath(\lambda_2)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

and this equation implies that one can define an almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon\Sigma\to\mathrm{Isom}(T_\Lambda,T_\Gamma)$.

If Φ_1 and Φ_2 are almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant Borel maps from Σ into $\mathrm{Isom}(T_\Lambda,T_\Gamma)$, then the map $\Phi_0\colon\Sigma\to\mathrm{Aut}^*(T_\Gamma)$ defined by the formula $\Phi_0(x)=\Phi_1(x)\Phi_2(x)^{-1}$ for $x\in\Sigma$ satisfies the equation

$$\Phi_0(\gamma x)=\imath(\gamma)\Phi_0(x)\imath(\gamma)^{-1},\quad \Phi_0(\lambda x)=\Phi_0(x)$$

for any $\gamma\in\Gamma$, $\lambda\in\Lambda$ and a.e. $x\in\Sigma$. Therefore, Φ_0 induces an almost Γ-equivariant Borel map from Σ/Λ into $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T_\Gamma)$, where the action of Γ on $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T_\Gamma)$ is given by conjugation through $\imath$. By Lemma 4.1, Φ_0 is the essentially constant map whose value is the neutral element. Thus, Φ_1 and Φ_2 are essentially equal. □

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Let us first prove the following:

Claim 4.8. *If $v,v'\in V(T_\Gamma)$ are distinct vertices, then the inclusion $(\mathcal{G}_v)_W\subset(\mathcal{G}_{v'})_W$ cannot occur for any Borel subset $W\subset Z$ of positive measure.*

Proof. Assume that the inclusion occurs. We may assume that v and v' are adjacent to each other. We denote by $e\in E(T)$ the edge connecting v and v', and denote by Γ_e the stabilizer of e in Γ. Let $\rho\colon\mathcal{G}\to\Gamma$ be the cocycle defined by $\rho(\gamma,x)=\gamma$ for $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and $x\in X$. It follows from the inclusion $(\mathcal{G}_v)_W\subset(\mathcal{G}_{v'})_W$ that $\rho(\gamma,x)\in\Gamma_e$ for a.e. $(\gamma,x)\in(\mathcal{G}_v)_W$. By Lemma 2.3, one can construct a ρ-invariant Borel map $\psi\colon\Gamma_v\cdot W\to\mathrm{Lk}(v)$ for $\mathcal{G}_v$ such that $\psi(x)=v'$ for a.e. $x\in W$, where $\mathrm{Lk}(v)$ is the link of v. Note that the action $\Gamma_v\curvearrowright\mathrm{Lk}(v)$ is transitive and that $\mathrm{Lk}(v)$ consists of infinitely many elements. On the other hand, there is a finite invariant measure on $\Gamma_v\cdot W$. This is a contradiction. □

Take $v\in V(T_\Gamma)$. By Theorem 2.2, there exists an α-invariant Borel map from X into $S(T_\Lambda)$ for $\mathcal{G}_v$ because Γ_v is a conjugate of either Γ_1 or Γ_2 and thus satisfies the property (T). Since Λ acts on T_Λ without inversions, one can easily construct an α-invariant Borel map $\varphi_v\colon X\to V(T_\Lambda)$ for $\mathcal{G}_v$.

For each $v\in V(T_\Gamma)$, let $Z=\bigsqcup_n Z_n$ be a countable Borel partition such that φ_v is essentially constant on Z_n for each n, and let $u_n\in V(T_\Lambda)$ be the value of φ_v on Z_n. The α-invariance of φ_v implies that the inclusion $f((\mathcal{G}_v)_{Z_n})\subset(\mathcal{H}_{u_n})_{Z_n}$ holds for each n. Applying the same argument for f^{-1} in place of f and applying Claim 4.8, we see that the equation $f((\mathcal{G}_v)_{Z_n})=(\mathcal{H}_{u_n})_{Z_n}$ holds for each n after taking a finer countable Borel partition $Z=\bigsqcup_n Z_n$. □

Proof of Lemma 4.6. It is easy to see that the map $\varphi(x,\cdot)$ defines a bijection from $V(T_\Gamma)$ onto $V(T_\Lambda)$ for a.e. $x\in Z$. To prove that $\varphi(x,\cdot)$ defines a simplicial isomorphism from T_Γ into T_Λ, we first show the following:

Claim 4.9. *Let $v_1,v_2\in V(T_\Gamma)$ be distinct and adjacent vertices and let $e\in E(T)$ be the edge connecting them. Let $\rho\colon\mathcal{G}\to\Gamma$ be the cocycle defined by $\rho(\gamma,x)=\gamma$ for*

$\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. Then any ρ-invariant Borel map $\psi\colon W \to V(T_\Gamma)$ for $\mathcal{G}_e$ defined on any Borel subset $W \subset Z$ of positive measure is valued in the set $\{v_1, v_2\}$.

Proof. If there were a Borel subset $W' \subset W$ of positive measure on which ψ takes the value $v \in V(T_\Gamma)$ different from v_1 and v_2, then one can construct a ρ-invariant Borel map $\psi'\colon \Gamma_e \cdot W' \to \Gamma_e v$ for $\mathcal{G}_e$. It follows from $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_i}(A) = A$ for each $i = 1, 2$ that $\Gamma_e v$ consists of infinitely many elements. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Let $v_1, v_2 \in V(T_\Gamma)$ be distinct and adjacent vertices, and let $e \in E(T)$ be the edge connecting them. Suppose that there exists a Borel subset $W \subset Z$ such that $\varphi(x, v_1) = u_1$ and $\varphi(x, v_2) = u_2$ for a.e. $x \in W$ and that u_1 and u_2 are not adjacent to each other. We may assume $f((\mathcal{G}_{v_1})_W) = (\mathcal{G}_{u_1})_W$ and $f((\mathcal{G}_{v_2})_W) = (\mathcal{G}_{u_2})_W$. Choose a vertex $u \in V(T_\Lambda)$ in the geodesic between u_1 and u_2 which are different from either of u_1 and u_2. Taking a smaller Borel subset of positive measure than W, we may assume that the map $W \ni x \mapsto \varphi(x, \cdot)^{-1}(u) \in V(T_\Gamma)$ is constant on W with its value $v \in V(T_\Gamma)$ and may assume $f((\mathcal{G}_v)_W) = (\mathcal{H}_u)_W$. The inclusion

$$f((\mathcal{G}_e)_W) = f((\mathcal{G}_{v_1})_W \cap (\mathcal{G}_{v_2})_W) = (\mathcal{H}_{u_1})_W \cap (\mathcal{H}_{u_2})_W \subset (\mathcal{H}_u)_W$$

then holds, and this implies $(\mathcal{G}_e)_W \subset (\mathcal{G}_v)_W$. This contradicts Claim 4.9 because $v \neq v_1, v_2$. Hence, $\varphi(x, v_1)$ and $\varphi(x, v_2)$ are adjacent for a.e. $x \in Z$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 4.7. Let $v \in V(T_\Gamma)$. It is enough to prove the equation

$$(\varphi(\gamma \cdot x)\imath(\gamma))(v) = (\jmath \circ \alpha(\gamma, x)\varphi(x))(v), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in W$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a Borel subset $W \subset Z$ of positive measure such that

- $\gamma \cdot W \subset Z$;
- the map $x \mapsto (\varphi(x))(v) \in V(T_\Lambda)$ is constant on W with its value u_1;
- the map $x \mapsto (\varphi(\gamma \cdot x)\imath(\gamma))(v) \in V(T_\Lambda)$ is constant on W with its value u_2;
- the equations $f((\mathcal{G}_v)_W) = (\mathcal{H}_{u_1})_W$, $f((\mathcal{G}_{\gamma v})_{\gamma \cdot W}) = (\mathcal{H}_{u_2})_{\gamma \cdot W}$ hold; and
- the map $x \mapsto \alpha(\gamma, x) \in \Lambda$ is constant on W with its value λ.

For $(\delta, x) \in (\mathcal{G}_{\gamma v})_{\gamma \cdot W}$, apply α to the equation

$$(\delta, x) = (\gamma, (\gamma^{-1}\delta) \cdot x)(\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma, \gamma^{-1} \cdot x)(\gamma^{-1}, x).$$

By assumption, the equations

$$\alpha(\gamma, (\gamma^{-1}\delta) \cdot x) = \lambda, \quad \alpha(\gamma^{-1}, x) = \alpha(\gamma, \gamma^{-1} \cdot x)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}$$

hold. Since $(\gamma^{-1}\delta\gamma, \gamma^{-1} \cdot x) \in (\mathcal{G}_v)_W$, the inclusion $(\mathcal{H}_{u_2})_{\gamma \cdot W} \subset (\mathcal{H}_{\lambda u_1})_{\gamma \cdot W}$ holds, and thus $u_2 = \lambda u_1$ by Claim 4.8. This proves the desired equation. $\square$

5. Couplings with unknown groups

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be the amalgamated free product in Assumption $(\star)$, and let $\imath\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ be the natural homomorphism associated with the action of Γ on the Bass-Serre tree T. If Σ is a coupling of Γ and a discrete group Λ, then there exist a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ and an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \rho)$ by Corollary 4.3. This section studies the structure of Λ by using the maps ρ and Φ, relied on the Bass-Serre theory.

To apply this theory to the action of Λ on T via ρ, each element of Λ should act on T without inversions. Section 5.1 explains how this difficulty can be avoided. Section 5.2 is devoted to fundamental observations about small couplings inside Σ

each of which is associated with a simplex of T and is a coupling of the stabilizers of that simplex in Γ and Λ. One can obtain information on the action of Λ on T through these small couplings. As a consequence, Theorem 1.2 is proved. Section 5.3 is preliminaries for studying the case where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are rigid in the sense of measure equivalence. In particular, if they are lattices in higher rank simple Lie groups, then the observation there is a crucial step for the proof of superrigidity results stated in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Section 5.4 presents sufficient conditions for the action of Λ on T to be locally cofinite and to be cocompact when Γ_1 and Γ_2 are coupling rigid with respect to some standard Borel groups. These conditions are formulated in terms of those standard Borel groups and the image of Γ_1 and Γ_2 in them.

5.1. Reduction from $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$.

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be the amalgamated free product in Assumption $(\star)$, and let $\imath\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ be the natural homomorphism associated with the action of Γ on the Bass-Serre tree T. Recall that the subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ consisting of orientation-preserving automorphisms is of index two in $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ and that the image $\imath(\Gamma)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$. Let (Σ, m) be a coupling of Γ and a discrete group Λ. One can then find a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ and an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \rho)$ by Corollary 4.3.

We may assume that the Borel subset $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ of Σ has positive measure by replacing ρ and Φ as follows: If the measure of $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ is zero, then choose $f \in \mathrm{Aut}^*(T) \setminus \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and define a homomorphism $\rho_f\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ and a Borel map $\Phi_f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ by the formulas

$$\rho_f(\lambda) = f\rho(\lambda)f^{-1}, \quad \Phi_f(x) = \Phi(x)f^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $x \in \Sigma$. It is clear that the map $\Phi_f\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \rho_f)$ is almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant and that the Borel subset $\Phi_f^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ has positive measure.

On the assumption that $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ has positive measure, we set

$$\Lambda_+ = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(T)), \quad \Sigma_+ = \Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T)).$$

It is easy to see that $[\Lambda : \Lambda_+] \leq 2$ and that Σ_+ is a coupling of Γ and Λ_+. More specifically, the action $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ is induced from the action $\Gamma \times \Lambda_+ \curvearrowright \Sigma_+$. It is therefore enough to study the latter action to know the structure of the original coupling Σ of Γ and Λ. Note that both $\rho(\Lambda_+)$ and $\Phi(\Sigma_+)$ are contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ and that the map $\Phi\colon \Sigma_+ \to (\mathrm{Aut}(T), \imath, \rho)$ is almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda_+)$-equivariant.

Since Λ_+ acts on the tree T via ρ without inversions, we can apply the Bass-Serre theory to this action to know the structure of Λ_+. The next subsection gives useful information on the stabilizers of simplices of T and the quotient graph for the action of Λ_+ on T, which will be investigated in the following general setting.

Assumption $(\circ)$: We set the notation as follows:

- Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be an amalgamated free product of discrete groups.
- We denote by $\imath\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ the homomorphism associated with the action of Γ on the Bass-Serre tree T.
- We orient T so that $\gamma\Gamma_1 \in V(T)$ is the origin of the edge $\gamma A \in E(T)$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ denote the group of simplicial automorphisms of T preserving this orientation.

Suppose that we are given

- a coupling (Σ, m) of Γ and a discrete group Λ;
- a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}(T)$; and
- an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}(T), \imath, \rho)$.

5.2. **Small couplings.** On Assumption $(\circ)$, for each simplex $s \in V(T) \cup E(T)$ of the tree T, we put

$$\mathrm{Stab}(s) = \{\, \varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(T) \mid \varphi(s) = s \,\},$$

which is a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$, and put

$$\Sigma_s = \Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Stab}(s)),$$
$$\Gamma_s = \imath^{-1}(\imath(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(s)), \quad \Lambda_s = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(s)).$$

It is clear that Σ_s is a $(\Gamma_s \times \Lambda_s)$-invariant Borel subset of Σ. The first important observation of this subsection is that Σ_s is a coupling of Γ_s and Λ_s. Since Γ_s is a conjugate of one of the subgroups Γ_1, Γ_2 and A of Γ, this fact gives us valuable information about the stabilizer Λ_s. In the course of the proof of that fact, we present several basic properties of these small couplings. For each $i = 1, 2$, let us denote by $V_i(T)$ the subset Γ/Γ_i of $V(T) = \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma/\Gamma_2$.

Lemma 5.1. *On Assumption $(\circ)$, let S be one of $V_1(T)$, $V_2(T)$ and $E(T)$, and pick $s, s' \in S$. We put*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(s, s') = \{\, f \in \mathrm{Aut}(T) \mid f(s) = s' \,\}, \quad \Sigma_s^{s'} = \Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(s, s')).$$

Then $m(\Sigma_s^{s'}) > 0$. In particular, $m(\Sigma_s) > 0$.

Proof. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright S$ is transitive and $\imath(\gamma)\mathrm{Aut}(s, s') = \mathrm{Aut}(s, \gamma s')$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the equation $\mathrm{Aut}(T) = \bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \imath(\gamma)\mathrm{Aut}(s, s')$ holds. This proves the lemma. ☐

Lemma 5.2. *On Assumption $(\circ)$, for each $s \in V(T) \cup E(T)$, the following three assertions hold:*

(i) *If $Y \subset \Sigma_s$ is a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma_s \curvearrowright \Sigma_s$, then Y is also a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma$. In particular, $m(Y)$ is finite.*
(ii) *If $X \subset \Sigma_s$ is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_s \curvearrowright \Sigma_s$, then X is contained in a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$. In particular, $m(X)$ is finite.*
(iii) *$(\Sigma_s, m|_{\Sigma_s})$ is a coupling of Γ_s and Λ_s.*

Proof. The assertion (iii) follows from the assertions (i) and (ii), which are proved as follows. If $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfies $m(\gamma Y \triangle Y) > 0$, then there exist two points $x, y \in Y$ such that $\gamma x = y$, $\Phi(x) \in \mathrm{Stab}(s)$ and $\imath(\gamma)\Phi(x) = \Phi(y) \in \mathrm{Stab}(s)$. Thus, $\gamma \in \Gamma_s$. This implies that γ is the identity because Y is a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma_s \curvearrowright \Sigma_s$. The equation $\Gamma Y = \Sigma$ follows from the equation $\mathrm{Aut}(T) = \bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \imath(\gamma)\mathrm{Stab}(s)$.

In the same way, one can show that if $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfies $m(\lambda X \triangle X) > 0$, then λ is the identity. Hence, X is contained in a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$. ☐

Lemma 5.3. *On Assumption $(\circ)$, let S be one of $V_1(T)$, $V_2(T)$ and $E(T)$, and pick $s \in S$. Then the action $\rho(\Lambda) \curvearrowright S$ is transitive if and only if there exists a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ contained in Σ_s. This is the case if the action $\Gamma_s \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ is ergodic.*

Proof. Suppose that the action $\rho(\Lambda) \curvearrowright S$ is transitive. It is then clear that the equation $\mathrm{Aut}(T) = \bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathrm{Stab}(s)\rho(\lambda)^{-1}$ holds. One can then show that any fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_s \curvearrowright \Sigma_s$ is in fact a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$. This implies that there exists a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ contained in Σ_s.

Conversely, if one can find a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ contained in Σ_s, then for each $s' \in S$, it follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $m(\lambda\Sigma_s \cap \Sigma_s^{s'}) > 0$. This implies $\rho(\lambda)(s) = s'$.

Suppose that the action $\Gamma_s \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ is ergodic, and let $X \subset \Sigma$ be a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ which contains a fundamental domain X_1 for the action $\Lambda_s \curvearrowright \Sigma_s$. Note that $X_1 = X \cap \Sigma_s$, and put $X_2 = X \setminus X_1$. We claim that $m((\Gamma_s \times \Lambda)X_1 \triangle X_2) = 0$. Otherwise, there would exist $\gamma \in \Gamma_s$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $m((\gamma, \lambda)X_1 \triangle X_2) > 0$. It follows from $\gamma X_1 \subset \Sigma_s$ that $m(\lambda\Sigma_s \triangle X_2) > 0$. This is a contradiction because $m(\Lambda X_1 \triangle \Lambda X_2) = 0$ and $\Lambda_s X_1 = \Sigma_s$. The claim implies

$$m((\Gamma_s \times \Lambda)X_1 \triangle (\Gamma_s \times \Lambda)X_2) = 0.$$

This shows that both X_1 and X_2 are invariant under the action $\Gamma_s \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ when X is identified with Σ/Λ. If the action $\Gamma_s \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ is ergodic, then $m(X_2) = 0$ since $m(X_1) > 0$. Therefore, $X = X_1$ is contained in Σ_s. □

It is clear that if the action $A \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ is ergodic, then so is the action $\Gamma_s \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ for any $s \in S$ and any $S \in \{V_1(T), V_2(T), E(T)\}$. In this case, for any $e \in E(T)$, a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_e \curvearrowright \Sigma_e$ is in fact a fundamental domain for either of the actions $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ and $\Lambda_v \curvearrowright \Sigma_v$ for any $v \in V(T)$ with $v \in \partial e$, where ∂e denotes the boundary of the edge e. There always exists a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma$ contained in Σ_e for any $e \in E(T)$ by Lemma 5.2 (i), which is also a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma_v \curvearrowright \Sigma_v$ for any $v \in V(T)$ with $v \in \partial e$. We therefore obtain the following description of the structure of Λ via the Bass-Serre theory.

Corollary 5.4. *On Assumption* ($\circ$), *let* $v_i \in V_i(T)$, $e \in E(T)$ *be the simplices of* T *corresponding to the cosets containing the neutral element, and put* $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_{v_i}$ *for* $i = 1, 2$ *and* $B = \Lambda_e$. *If the action* $A \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ *is ergodic, then* Λ *is isomorphic to the amalgamated free product* $\Lambda_1 *_B \Lambda_2$ *with* $\Gamma_i \sim_{ME} \Lambda_i$ *for* $i = 1, 2$ *and* $A \sim_{ME} B$. *Moreover, their couplings have the same coupling constant.*

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Corollaries 4.3, 5.4 and the argument in Section 5.1. This proves the assertion (ii) of Theorem 1.2. The assertion (i) of the theorem follows from Lemma 5.2.

Corollary 5.5. *On Assumption* ($\star$), *suppose that we have a coupling* Σ *of the amalgamated free product* $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ *and a discrete group* Λ *such that the action* $A \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$ *is ergodic. Then there exists a subgroup* Λ^+ *of* Λ *with its index at most two such that* Λ^+ *is decomposed as an amalgamated free product* $\Lambda_1 *_B \Lambda_2$, *where* $\Gamma_i \sim_{ME} \Lambda_i$ *for* $i = 1, 2$ *and* $A \sim_{ME} B$ *hold, and their couplings have the same coupling constant.*

5.3. **Quotients of couplings with countable images.** The following lemma is a brief observation on equivariant quotient maps of couplings with their images countable, which will be applied many times in what follows. We say that a positive measure on a standard Borel space Ω is *atomic* if there is a countable subset of Ω whose complement has measure zero.

Lemma 5.6. *Let (Σ, m) be a coupling of discrete groups Γ and Λ. Suppose that we have an almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma\to (G,\pi,\rho)$ such that the measure Φ_*m on G is atomic, where G is a standard Borel group, and $\pi\colon \Gamma\to G$ and $\rho\colon \Lambda\to G$ are homomorphisms with $\ker\pi$ finite. Choose $g\in G$ with $\Phi_*m(\{g\})>0$, and define a homomorphism $\rho_g\colon \Lambda\to G$ and a Borel map $\Phi_g\colon \Sigma\to G$ by*

$$\rho_g(\lambda)=g\rho(\lambda)g^{-1},\quad \Phi_g(x)=\Phi(x)g^{-1}$$

for $\lambda\in\Lambda$ and $x\in\Sigma$. Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) *The map $\Phi_g\colon \Sigma\to (G,\pi,\rho_g)$ is almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant.*
- (ii) *The support of the measure $(\Phi_g)_*m$ on G contains the neutral element of G and is contained in $\mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma))$.*
- (iii) *$\ker\rho=\ker\rho_g$ is finite, and $\pi(\Gamma)$ and $\rho_g(\Lambda)$ are commensurable in G, that is, the subgroup $\pi(\Gamma)\cap\rho_g(\Lambda)$ is of finite index in both $\pi(\Gamma)$ and $\rho_g(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Put $M=(\Phi_g)_*m$. The assertion (i) and the positivity of $M(\{e\})$ are clear. Let $S\subset G$ be the subset consisting of all elements $h\in G$ with $M(\{h\})>0$. It follows from the finiteness of $\ker\pi$ that $0<M(\{s\})<\infty$ for any $s\in S$ and that $\ker\rho$ is finite. For each $s\in S$, the subset $G_s=\pi(\Gamma)s\rho_g(\Lambda)$ is invariant for the action $\Gamma\times\Lambda\curvearrowright (G,\pi,\rho_g)$, which defines a coupling of $\pi(\Gamma)$ and $\rho_g(\Lambda)$. Since the action $\pi(\Gamma)\curvearrowright G_s/\rho_g(\Lambda)$ defined by left multiplication admits a finite invariant measure, the stabilizer $\pi(\Gamma)\cap s\rho_g(\Lambda)s^{-1}$ of s for the action is a finite index subgroup of $\pi(\Gamma)$. Similarly, one can prove that $\rho_g(\Lambda)\cap s^{-1}\pi(\Gamma)s$ is a finite index subgroup of $\rho_g(\Lambda)$. Therefore, $\pi(\Gamma)$ and $s\rho_g(\Lambda)s^{-1}$ are commensurable in G. Since S contains the neutral element of G, $\pi(\Gamma)$ and $\rho_g(\Lambda)$ are commensurable in G, and S is contained in $\mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma))$. ☐

We shall recall the following fundamental fact on couplings of lattices in higher rank simple Lie groups. The assertion (i) follows from Lemma 6.1 in [9] and Zimmer's argument in [31] (see §7 in [9] for details). The assertion (ii) is proved in Lemma 4.6 of [9].

Theorem 5.7. *Let Γ be a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group G with its center finite and its real rank at least two, and let (Σ, m) be a coupling of Γ and a discrete group Λ. Suppose that we have a homomorphism $\rho\colon\Lambda\to\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ and an almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon\Sigma\to(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G),\pi,\rho)$, where π is the natural homomorphism from G into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$. Then*

- (i) *$\ker\rho$ is finite, and $\rho(\Lambda)$ is a lattice in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$.*
- (ii) *the measure Φ_*m on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ is a linear combination of the Haar measure on cosets of $\mathrm{Ad}G$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ and atomic measures. If the action $\Gamma\times\Lambda\curvearrowright(\Sigma,m)$ is ergodic, then Φ_*m is either the Haar measure on some cosets of $\mathrm{Ad}G$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ or atomic.*

On Assumption ($\circ$), fix $v\in V(T)$ and suppose that Γ_v is isomorphic to a lattice in the Lie group G in Theorem 5.7. The rest of this subsection investigates the quotient map Φ_v from the small coupling $(\Sigma_v, m|_{\Sigma_v})$ of Γ_v and Λ_v into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ obtained by Theorems 3.5 and 3.12. We will prove that the measure $(\Phi_v)_*(m|_{\Sigma_v})$ is atomic as an application of the general fact in Theorem 5.7 and of Moore's celebrated theorem on unitary representations of Lie groups [23]. This leads to superrigidity of amalgamated free products of higher rank lattices, which is not satisfied by higher rank lattices themselves.

Moore's theorem is applied in the proof of the following proposition, where "irreducibility" of an ME cocycle associated with the standard coupling AdG of two lattices in AdG is established. Since the coupling Σ_v contains the smaller coupling Σ_e, where $e \in E(T)$ is an edge with $v \in \partial e$, the ME cocycle associated with the coupling Σ_v is "reducible". Hence, the measure $(\Phi_v)_*(m|_{\Sigma_v})$ cannot be the Haar measure. This idea will be demonstrated precisely in the subsequent corollary.

Proposition 5.8. *Let G be a non-compact connected simple Lie group with its center finite, and let Γ and Λ be lattices in G. Suppose that we are given*

- *a coupling (Σ, m) of Γ and Λ; and*
- *an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Ad}G, \pi, \pi)$ with $\Phi_* m$ the Haar measure on* AdG*,*

where π is the natural homomorphism from G into AdG*. Let $X \subset \Sigma$ be a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$, and let $\alpha\colon \Gamma \times X \to \Lambda$ be the associated ME cocycle.*

Then for any infinite subgroup A of Γ, any infinite index subgroup B of Λ and any Borel subset Z of X with positive measure, it is impossible that the inclusion $\alpha((A \ltimes X)_Z) \subset B$ holds up to null sets.

Proof. We may assume that the center of G is trivial and may identify G and AdG. Suppose that there are A, B and Z as in the statement, satisfying the inclusion $\alpha((A \ltimes X)_Z) \subset B$. We first prove that one may assume that Z is A-invariant by replacing X appropriately. Take a countable Borel partition $A \cdot Z = Z \sqcup \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$ of the saturation $A \cdot Z$ such that

- there exists $a_n \in A$ with $Z_n \subset a_n \cdot Z$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$; and
- the map $\alpha(a_n, \cdot)$ is constant on $a_n^{-1} \cdot Z_n$ with the value $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$.

We set $Z_0 = Z$, $a_0 = e \in A$ and $\lambda_0 = e \in \Lambda$. Define a Borel map $\varphi\colon X \to \Lambda$ by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_n^{-1} & \text{if } x \in Z_n \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ e & \text{if } x \in X \setminus (A \cdot Z), \end{cases}$$

and define a Borel cocycle $\alpha_\varphi\colon \Gamma \times X \to \Lambda$ by $\alpha_\varphi(\gamma, x) = \varphi(\gamma \cdot x)\alpha(\gamma, x)\varphi(x)^{-1}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. For each $a \in A$ and $x \in A \cdot Z$, choose $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $x \in Z_n$ and $a \cdot x \in Z_m$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\varphi(a, x) &= \alpha_\varphi(a_m, (a_m^{-1}a) \cdot x)\alpha_\varphi(a_m^{-1}aa_n, a_n^{-1} \cdot x)\alpha_\varphi(a_n^{-1}, x) \\ &= \varphi(a \cdot x)\alpha(a_m, (a_m^{-1}a) \cdot x)\alpha(a_m^{-1}aa_n, a_n^{-1} \cdot x)\alpha(a_n^{-1}, x)\varphi(x)^{-1} \\ &= \lambda_m^{-1}\lambda_m\alpha(a_m^{-1}aa_n, a_n^{-1} \cdot x)\lambda_n^{-1}\lambda_n \\ &= \alpha(a_m^{-1}aa_n, a_n^{-1} \cdot x) \in B. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the inclusion $\alpha_\varphi(A \ltimes (A \cdot Z)) \subset B$. Let us define the Borel subset

$$X_\varphi = \{\, \varphi(x)x \in \Sigma \mid x \in X \,\}$$

of Σ, which is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$. The associated ME cocycle $\alpha'\colon \Gamma \times X_\varphi \to \Lambda$ satisfies the equation $\alpha'(\gamma, \varphi(x)x) = \alpha_\varphi(\gamma, x)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a.e. $x \in X$ because we have

$$(\gamma, \alpha_\varphi(\gamma, x))\varphi(x)x = (\gamma, \varphi(\gamma \cdot x)\alpha(\gamma, x))x = \varphi(\gamma \cdot x)(\gamma \cdot x) \in X_\varphi$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a.e. $x \in X$. We may therefore assume that Z is A-invariant after replacing X by X_φ.

The map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (G, \pi, \pi)$ induces a Γ-equivariant Borel map $\Phi_0\colon \Sigma/B \to G/B$, where Γ acts on G/B by left multiplication. Let $p\colon \Sigma \to \Sigma/B$ be the canonical projection, and choose a fundamental domain F for the action $B \curvearrowright \Sigma$ containing Z. We denote by m_0 and m_1 the restrictions of m to Z and F, respectively, and put $M_0 = (\Phi_0 \circ p)_* m_0$ and $M_1 = (\Phi_0 \circ p)_* m_1$. By assumption, M_1 is the measure on G/B induced from the Haar measure on G. There exists an L^1-function f on G/B with respect to M_1 such that $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ for M_1-a.e. $x \in G/B$ and

$$M_0(S) = \int_S f(x)\, dM_1(x)$$

for any Borel subset S of G/B since $m_0(W) \leq m_1(W)$ for any Borel subset W of Σ. The function f is also L^2 with respect to M_1 because of its boundedness. Since $p_* m_0$ is A-invariant, so is the non-zero vector $f \in L^2(G/B, M_1)$. On the other hand, Moore's theorem (see [23] or Theorem 2.2.19 in [30]) tells us that there exists no non-zero A-invariant vector for any unitary representation of G without non-zero G-invariant vectors. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 5.9. *On Assumption $(\circ)$, fix $i = 1, 2$. Let us denote by $v_i \in V_i(T) = \Gamma/\Gamma_i$ the vertex corresponding to the coset containing the neutral element, and put $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{v_i}$ and $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_{v_i}$. Suppose that*

- *Γ_i is a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group G with its center finite and its real rank at least two; and*
- *A is an infinite and infinite index subgroup of Γ_i.*

Let $\rho_i\colon \Lambda_i \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ be a homomorphism and $\Phi_i\colon \Sigma_i \to (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G), \pi, \rho_i)$ an almost $(\Gamma_i \times \Lambda_i)$-equivariant Borel map, where π is the natural homomorphism from G into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$. Then the measure $(\Phi_i)_*(m|_{\Sigma_i})$ on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ is atomic.

Proof. We first note that $\ker \rho_i$ is finite and $\rho_i(\Lambda_i)$ is a lattice in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ by Theorem 5.7 (i). Let $p\colon \Sigma_i \to C$ be the ergodic decomposition for the action $\Gamma_i \times \Lambda_i \curvearrowright \Sigma_i$. Put $\Sigma_i^c = p^{-1}(c)$ for $c \in C$ and let $m|_{\Sigma_i} = \int_C m^c d\xi(c)$ be the disintegration with respect to the map p. Theorem 5.7 (ii) shows that for ξ-a.e. $c \in C$, the measure $(\Phi_i)_* m^c$ on $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ is either atomic or equal to the Haar measure on some cosets of $\mathrm{Ad}G$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$. If the measure $(\Phi_i)_* m^c$ is atomic for ξ-a.e. $c \in C$, then there exists $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ such that $\pi(\Gamma_i)$ and $g\rho_i(\Lambda_i)g^{-1}$ are commensurable in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ by Lemma 5.6. It is then easy to see that any $(\Gamma_i \times \Lambda_i)$-invariant atomic measure on $(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G), \pi, \mathrm{Ad}g \circ \rho_i)$ is supported on $\mathrm{Comm}_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)}(\pi(\Gamma_i))$. Therefore, to prove the corollary, it is enough to prove that the measure $(\Phi_i)_* m^c$ is atomic for ξ-a.e. $c \in C$.

Let $e \in E(T) = \Gamma/A$ be the edge corresponding to the neutral element and put $\Sigma_e^c = \Sigma_e \cap \Sigma_i^c$ for $c \in C$. Choose a fundamental domain X for the action $\Lambda_i \curvearrowright \Sigma_i$ such that $X \cap \Sigma_e$ is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_e \curvearrowright \Sigma_e$. For ξ-a.e. $c \in C$, the action $\Gamma_i \times \Lambda_i \curvearrowright (\Sigma_i^c, m^c)$ defines a coupling and the ME cocycle $\alpha^c\colon \Gamma_i \times (X \cap \Sigma_i^c) \to \Lambda_i$ satisfies the inclusion $\alpha^c(A \times (X \cap \Sigma_e^c)) \subset \Lambda_e$ because we have $(A \times \Lambda_e)(X \cap \Sigma_e^c) = \Sigma_e^c$. Since A is an infinite index subgroup of Γ_i, Λ_e is an infinite index subgroup of Λ_i. By Proposition 5.8, for ξ-a.e. $c \in C$, the measure $(\Phi_i)_* m^c$ is not equal to the Haar measure on some cosets of $\mathrm{Ad}G$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$. $\square$

5.4. **Finiteness properties for actions on trees.** The aim of this subsection is to describe sufficient conditions for the action of Λ on the tree T to be locally cofinite and to be cocompact in the following setting.

Assumption ($\bullet$): In the notation of Assumption ($\circ$), we suppose the following conditions (a) and (b):

(a) For each $i = 1, 2$, Γ_i is coupling rigid with respect to a pair (G_i, π_i), where G_i is a standard Borel group and $\pi_i \colon \Gamma_i \to G_i$ is a homomorphism with $\ker \pi_i$ finite such that the action $\pi_i(\Gamma_i) \curvearrowright G_i$ defined by left multiplication admits a Borel fundamental domain.

For each $v \in V(T)$, we put

$$\Sigma_v = \Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Stab}(v)),$$

$$\Gamma_v = \imath^{-1}(\imath(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(v)), \quad \Lambda_v = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(v)).$$

If v belongs to $V_i(T)$, then put $G_v = G_i$ and let $\pi_v \colon \Gamma_v \to G_v$ be the homomorphism defined by $\pi_v(\gamma) = \pi_i(\gamma_v \gamma \gamma_v^{-1})$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_v$, where $\gamma_v \in \Gamma$ is chosen so that $\gamma_v \Gamma_v \gamma_v^{-1} = \Gamma_i$. It then follows from Theorem 3.5 that there exist

- a homomorphism $\rho_v \colon \Lambda_v \to G_v$ with $\ker \rho_v$ finite; and
- an almost $(\Gamma_v \times \Lambda_v)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi_v \colon \Sigma_v \to (G_v, \pi_v, \rho_v)$.

We suppose that

(b) the measure $(\Phi_v)_*(m|_{\Sigma_v})$ on G_v is atomic for each $v \in V(T)$.

The following lemma gives us information on the image $\Phi_v(\Sigma_e)$ in G_v when v is an end point of an edge e of T. This helps us to understand relationship between $\pi_v(\Gamma_e)$ and $\rho_v(\Lambda_e)$.

Lemma 5.10. *On Assumption ($\bullet$), choose $v \in V(T)$ and let $e \in E(T)$ be an edge with $v \in \partial e$. When s is either v or e, we denote by $\Phi_v(\Sigma_s)$ the support of the measure $(\Phi_v)_*(m|_{\Sigma_s})$ on G_v. Suppose that $\Phi_v(\Sigma_v)$ contains the neutral element of G_v. Then*

(i) *for any $g \in \Phi_v(\Sigma_e)$, the following inclusion holds:*

$$\Phi_v(\Sigma_e) \subset \mathrm{Comm}_{G_v}(\pi_v(\Gamma_e))g \cap \mathrm{Comm}_{G_v}(\pi_v(\Gamma_v)).$$

(ii) *if we are given $i \in \{1, 2\}$ with $v \in V_i(T)$ and if A_i is proper in Γ_i and satisfies the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_i}(A_i) = A_i$, then for any $g \in \Phi_v(\Sigma_e)$, the following inclusion holds up to null sets:*

$$\Phi_v^{-1}(\mathrm{LQN}_{G_v}(\pi_v(\Gamma_e))g) \subset \Sigma_e$$

(iii) *on the assumption in the assertion (ii),* $\ker \rho_v$ *is contained in* Λ_e.

Proof. The assertion (i) follows from Lemma 5.6. We put

$$\bar{\Gamma}_s = \pi_v(\Gamma_s), \quad \bar{\Lambda}_s = \rho_v(\Lambda_s)$$

for $s \in \{v, e\}$. By the same lemma, to prove the assertion (ii), it is enough to show the inclusion $\Phi_v^{-1}(\mathrm{LQN}_{G_v}(\bar{\Gamma}_e)) \subset \Sigma_e$ assuming that $\Phi_v(\Sigma_e)$ contains the neutral element of G_v.

Choose $h \in \mathrm{LQN}_{G_v}(\bar{\Gamma}_e) \cap \Phi_v(\Sigma_v)$. Since $\ker \pi_v$ is finite, the measure of $\Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\})$ is finite. It follows from $h \in \mathrm{LQN}_{G_v}(\bar{\Gamma}_e)$ that the index $[\bar{\Gamma}_e : \bar{\Gamma}_e \cap h\bar{\Gamma}_e h^{-1}]$ is finite, and thus $\bar{\Gamma}_e$ is virtually contained in $h\bar{\Gamma}_e h^{-1}$. Since $\Phi_v(\Sigma_e)$ contains the neutral element of G_v, $\bar{\Gamma}_e$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_e$ are commensurable in G_v. Some finite index subgroup $\bar{\Delta}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}_e \cap \bar{\Lambda}_e$ is thus contained in $h(\bar{\Gamma}_e \cap \bar{\Lambda}_e)h^{-1}$. The finite index subgroup $\Delta = \pi_v^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}) \cap \Gamma_e$ of Γ_e then satisfies the following: For each $\gamma \in \Delta$, one can find

$\lambda_\gamma \in \Lambda_e$ such that $\rho_v(\lambda_\gamma) = h^{-1}\pi_v(\gamma)h$. It follows that for each $\gamma \in \Delta$ and a.e. $x \in \Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\})$, the equation

$$\Phi_v((\gamma, \lambda_\gamma)x) = \pi_v(\gamma)h\rho_v(\lambda_\gamma)^{-1} = h$$

holds, and this implies the equation $(\gamma, \lambda_\gamma)\Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\}) = \Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\})$ up to null sets for any $\gamma \in \Delta$.

Let $u_0 \in V(T)$ be the vertex with $\partial e = \{v, u_0\}$. For each $u \in \mathrm{Lk}(v)$, we put

$$E_u = \Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\}) \cap \Phi^{-1}(\{\, f \in \mathrm{Aut}(T) \mid f(v) = v,\ f(u_0) = u\,\}).$$

It then follows that $(\gamma, \lambda_\gamma)E_u = E_{\gamma u}$ for each $\gamma \in \Delta$ and each $u \in \mathrm{Lk}(v)$. Pick $u \in \mathrm{Lk}(v)$ distinct from u_0. Note that the orbit for the action $\Delta \curvearrowright \mathrm{Lk}(v)$ containing u consists of infinitely many elements as $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_v}(\Gamma_e) = \Gamma_e$. It is clear that $E_{\gamma u} \subset \Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\})$ for each $\gamma \in \Delta$ and that $m(E_{u_1} \triangle E_{u_2}) = 0$ for any distinct $u_1, u_2 \in \mathrm{Lk}(v)$. Since the measure of $\Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\})$ is finite and $m(E_{\gamma u}) = m(E_u)$ for any $\gamma \in \Delta$, the measure of E_u must be zero. Therefore, $\Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\})$ coincides with E_{u_0} up to null sets, and thus $\Phi_v^{-1}(\{h\}) \subset \Sigma_e$. This proves the assertion (ii). The assertion (iii) directly follows from the assertion (ii). $\square$

As indicated in Lemma 5.3, for each $S \in \{V_1(T), V_2(T), E(T)\}$, there is a close connection between the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright S$ via ρ and fundamental domains for the action $\Lambda_s \curvearrowright \Sigma_s$ with $s \in S$. For each $v \in V(T)$, the coupling constant for the coupling Σ_v of Γ_v and Λ_v is related to the size of subgroups of G_v which are commensurable with $\pi_v(\Gamma_v)$. The following Condition (V) restricts the size of such subgroups of G_v. Other conditions introduced below are also related to the coupling constants for some small couplings inside Σ. When Δ_1 and Δ_2 are subgroups of a group, we write $\Delta_1 \asymp \Delta_2$ if the intersection $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2$ is a finite index subgroup of both Δ_1 and Δ_2.

Notation 5.11. Let Γ be a discrete group and A a subgroup of Γ. Suppose that we are given the pair (G, π) of a standard Borel group G and a homomorphism $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$ with $\ker \pi$ finite. Let us consider Condition (V) for the pair $(\Gamma, (G, \pi))$ and Conditions (E), (F) for the triplet $(\Gamma, A, (G, \pi))$ given as follows:

(V)**:** There exists a positive number c such that for any subgroup Δ of G with $\Delta \asymp \pi(\Gamma)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{[\Delta : \pi(\Gamma) \cap \Delta]}{[\pi(\Gamma) : \pi(\Gamma) \cap \Delta]} \leq c.$$

(E)**:** There exists a positive number d such that for any subgroup Δ of G with $\Delta \asymp \pi(\Gamma)$ and for any subgroup Δ_0 of Δ with $\Delta_0 \asymp \pi(A)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{[\Delta_0 : \pi(A) \cap \Delta_0]}{[\pi(A) : \pi(A) \cap \Delta_0]} \leq d.$$

(F)**:** For any subgroup Δ of G with $\Delta \asymp \pi(\Gamma)$ and any subgroup Δ_0 of Δ with $\Delta_0 \asymp \pi(A)$, there exists no non-trivial finite normal subgroup of Δ_0.

Notation 5.12. On Assumption $(\bullet)$, for each $i = 1, 2$, we say that Condition $(\mathsf{V})_i$ is satisfied if Condition (V) is satisfied for the pair $(\Gamma_i, (G_i, \pi_i))$. For each $i = 1, 2$ and each $\mathsf{S} \in \{\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}\}$, we say that Condition $(\mathsf{S})_i$ is satisfied if Condition (S) is satisfied for the triplet $(\Gamma_i, A_i, (G_i, \pi_i))$. We introduce Condition (B) for Λ as follows:

- (B): There exists a positive number C such that the cardinality of any finite subgroup of Λ is at most C.

The following proposition gives sufficient conditions for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright T$ to have finiteness properties. Examples satisfying Conditions (V) and (E) are constructed in Section 10.2.

Proposition 5.13. *On Assumption ($\bullet$), the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *Fix $i = 1, 2$. If Condition $(\mathsf{E})_i$ is satisfied, then the number of orbits for the action of Λ_v on the link $\mathrm{Lk}(v)$ of v is finite for any $v \in V_i(T)$.*
- (ii) *Fix $i = 1, 2$. If Conditions $(\mathsf{V})_i$ and (B) are satisfied, then the number of orbits for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright V_i(T)$ is finite.*
- (iii) *If all of Conditions $(\mathsf{V})_1$, $(\mathsf{V})_2$, $(\mathsf{E})_1$, $(\mathsf{E})_2$ and (B) are satisfied, then the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright T$ is cocompact.*
- (iv) *If A_i is proper in Γ_i and satisfies the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_i}(A_i) = A_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$, then one can replace Condition (B) in both assertions (ii) and (iii) by the condition that both Conditions $(\mathsf{F})_1$ and $(\mathsf{F})_2$ are satisfied.*

Proof. Fix $i = 1, 2$ and let $v \in V_i(T)$. We define $L = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots\}$ as a set of representatives chosen from each orbit of the action $\Lambda_v \curvearrowright \mathrm{Lk}(v)$. Choose $\gamma_j \in \Gamma_v$ so that $\gamma_j u_1 = u_j$ for each j. For three vertices $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in V(T)$, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(w_1; w_2, w_3)$ the Borel subset of $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ consisting of all $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ such that $f(w_1) = w_1$ and $f(w_2) = w_3$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Stab}(v) &= \bigsqcup_{u \in L} \mathrm{Aut}(v; u, u_1)\rho(\Lambda_v) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{|L|} \imath(\gamma_j)^{-1}\mathrm{Aut}(v; u_j, u_j)\rho(\Lambda_v) \\ &= \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{|L|} \imath(\gamma_j)^{-1}\mathrm{Stab}(e_j)\rho(\Lambda_v),\end{aligned}$$

where $e_j \in E(T)$ is the edge connecting v and u_j. The equality

$$\Sigma_v = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{|L|} (\{\gamma_j^{-1}\} \times \Lambda_v)\Sigma_{e_j}$$

thus holds up to null sets. If $X_j \subset \Sigma_{e_j}$ is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_{e_j} \curvearrowright \Sigma_{e_j}$, then the union

$$X = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{|L|} \gamma_j^{-1} X_j \subset \Sigma_v$$

is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_v \curvearrowright \Sigma_v$. If $Y_j \subset \Sigma_{e_j}$ is a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma_{e_j} \curvearrowright \Sigma_{e_j}$, then Y_j is also a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma$ (see Lemma 5.2 (i)). The value $m(Y_j)$ is hence independent of j. We will estimate the value $m(X_j)/m(Y_j)$ from below by using Condition $(\mathsf{E})_i$ and will prove that $|L|$ is finite.

Let $\pi_v \colon \Gamma_v \to G_v$ and $\rho_v \colon \Lambda_v \to G_v$ be the homomorphisms with their kernels finite, and let $\Phi_v \colon \Sigma_v \to (G_v, \pi_v, \rho_v)$ be the almost $(\Gamma_v \times \Lambda_v)$-equivariant Borel map in Assumption ($\bullet$). By replacing ρ_v and Φ_v as in Lemma 5.6, we may assume that the support of the measure $(\Phi_v)_*(m|_{\Sigma_v})$, denoted by $\Phi_v(\Sigma_v)$, contains the neutral element of G_v. It then follows from the same lemma that $\pi_v(\Gamma_v) = \pi_i(\Gamma_i)$ and $\rho_v(\Lambda_v)$ are commensurable in G_v and that $\Phi_v(\Sigma_v)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Comm}_{G_v}(\pi_i(\Gamma_i))$.

Note that $\Phi_v(\Sigma_v)$ is a $(\Gamma_v \times \Lambda_v)$-invariant subset of (G_v, π_v, ρ_v). For each orbit $\mathcal{O}$ for the action $\Gamma_v \times \Lambda_v \curvearrowright \Phi_v(\Sigma_v)$, we put $\Sigma_{\mathcal{O}} = \Phi_v^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$. Choosing $g \in \mathcal{O}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{m(X_j \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})}{m(Y_j \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}})} &= \frac{[\pi_v(\Gamma_{e_j}) : \pi_v(\Gamma_{e_j}) \cap g\rho_v(\Lambda_{e_j})g^{-1}]}{[g\rho_v(\Lambda_{e_j})g^{-1} : \pi_v(\Gamma_{e_j}) \cap g\rho_v(\Lambda_{e_j})g^{-1}]} \frac{|\ker \pi_v \cap \Gamma_{e_j}|}{|\ker \rho_v \cap \Lambda_{e_j}|} \\ &\geq (d_i |\ker \rho_v|)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where d_i is the constant in Condition $(\mathsf{E})_i$. For each j, we then have

$$m(X_j) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} m(X_j \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}) \geq (d_i |\ker \rho_v|)^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{O}} m(Y_j \cap \Sigma_{\mathcal{O}}) = (d_i |\ker \rho_v|)^{-1} m(Y_j),$$

where the sum is taken over all orbits $\mathcal{O}$ for the action $\Gamma_v \times \Lambda_v \curvearrowright \Phi_v(\Sigma_v)$. This inequality implies that

$$m(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{|L|} m(X_j) \geq (d_i |\ker \rho_v|)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{|L|} m(Y_j) = (d_i |\ker \rho_v|)^{-1} m(Y_1)|L|.$$

Since $m(X)$ is finite, $|L|$ must be finite. This proves the assertion (i).

We next prove the assertion (ii). Let $K = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots\}$ be a set of representatives chosen from each orbit for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright V_i(T)$. Choose $\gamma_j \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma_j w_1 = w_j$ for each j. As in the proof of the assertion (i), we obtain the equalities

$$\mathrm{Aut}(T) = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{|K|} \imath(\gamma_j)^{-1} \mathrm{Stab}(w_j) \rho(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{|K|} (\{\gamma_j^{-1}\} \times \Lambda) \Sigma_{w_j}.$$

Let $X_j \subset \Sigma_{w_j}$ be a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_{w_j} \curvearrowright \Sigma_{w_j}$, and let $Y_j \subset \Sigma_{w_j}$ be a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma_{w_j} \curvearrowright \Sigma_{w_j}$. By Lemma 5.2 (i), the value $m(Y_j)$ is independent of j. By using Condition $(\mathsf{V})_i$, one can prove the inequality

$$m(X_j) \geq (c_i |\ker \rho_{w_j}|)^{-1} m(Y_j)$$

for each j, where c_i denotes the constant in Condition $(\mathsf{V})_i$. We then have

$$m(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{|K|} m(X_j) \geq c_i^{-1} m(Y_1) \sum_{j=1}^{|K|} |\ker \rho_{w_j}|^{-1} \geq (c_i C)^{-1} m(Y_1)|K|,$$

where the second inequality follows from Condition (B). Thus, $|K|$ must be finite.

The assertion (iii) follows from the assertions (i) and (ii). We prove the assertion (iv). In the proof of the assertion (ii), we obtain the inequality

$$m(X) \geq c_i^{-1} m(Y_1) \sum_{j=1}^{|K|} |\ker \rho_{w_j}|^{-1}$$

without Condition (B). Assume $|K|$ to be infinite. Since $m(X)$ is finite, the sum in the right hand side must be convergent and there exists an edge $e \in E(T)$ satisfying $|\ker \rho_u| < |\ker \rho_v|$, where $u, v \in V(T)$ are the vertices with $\partial e = \{u, v\}$. Since $\ker \rho_v$ is contained in Λ_e and in Λ_u by Lemma 5.10 (iii), $\rho_u(\ker \rho_v)$ is a non-trivial finite normal subgroup of $\rho_u(\Lambda_e)$. This contradicts either Condition $(\mathsf{F})_1$ or $(\mathsf{F})_2$. $\square$

6. Orbit equivalence rigidity

An ergodic f.f.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of a discrete group Γ is said to be superrigid if any ergodic f.f.m.p. action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ of a discrete group Λ which is (W)OE to it is (virtually) conjugate to it. In particular, Γ and Λ are (virtually) isomorphic if this is the case. This section provides sufficient conditions for an ergodic f.f.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ to be superrigid when Γ is given as an amalgamated free product $\Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ such that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are coupling rigid. These conditions involve ergodicity of the actions of finite index subgroups of Γ_1, Γ_2 and A. Let us collect below the assumption on $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ for which orbit equivalence rigidity will be proved.

Assumption (†): Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be discrete groups and A_i a subgroup of Γ_i for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\phi\colon A_1 \to A_2$ be an isomorphism and set $\Gamma = \langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \mid A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2 \rangle$. We denote by A the subgroup of Γ corresponding to $A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2$. Let T be the Bass-Serre tree associated with the decomposition of Γ and $\imath\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ the homomorphism arising from the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright T$. We assume that for each $i = 1, 2$,

- (a) $|A_i| = \infty$, $[\Gamma_i : A_i] = \infty$ and $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma_i}(A_i) = A_i$;
- (b) Γ_i is coupling rigid with respect to the pair (G_i, π_i) of a standard Borel group G_i and a homomorphism $\pi_i\colon \Gamma_i \to G_i$ with $\ker \pi_i$ trivial;
- (c) either G_i is countable or Γ_i is a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group G_i^0 with its center trivial and its real rank at least two, G_i is equal to $\mathrm{Aut}(G_i^0)$, and π_i is the inclusion into G_i; and
- (d) $\ker \imath$ is finite, and Γ is coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$.

Remark 6.1. The group Γ in Assumption ($\star$) satisfies the conditions (a) and (d) in Assumption (†).

The condition (b) in Assumption (†) implies that Γ_i has no non-trivial finite normal subgroup, and thus $\imath$ is injective.

The following theorem proves superrigidity of some actions of Γ in Assumption (†) in terms of couplings. The subsequent corollary states the result in terms of orbit equivalence, and it implies Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 6.2. *On Assumption* (†), *let* (Σ, m) *be a coupling of* Γ *and a discrete group* Λ, *and choose fundamental domains* $X, Y \subset \Sigma$ *for the actions of* Λ *and* Γ *on* Σ, *respectively. Suppose that the two associated actions* $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ *and* $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ *satisfy the following two conditions:*

1. $m(X) \geq m(Y)$; *and*
2. *Either the action* $A \curvearrowright X$ *is aperiodic or both of the actions* $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright X$ *and* $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright X$ *are aperiodic, the action* $A \curvearrowright X$ *is ergodic, and* A *is ICC.*

Then $m(X) = m(Y)$, *and there exist an isomorphism* $\rho_0\colon \Lambda \to \Gamma$ *and an almost* $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$*-equivariant Borel map* $\Phi_0\colon \Sigma \to (\Gamma, \mathrm{id}, \rho_0)$.

Proof. Theorem 3.5 shows that there exist a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ and an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \rho)$. As discussed in Section 5.1, we may assume that the measure of $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ is positive. We put

$$\Sigma_+ = \Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T)), \quad \Lambda_+ = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(T)).$$

It then follows that $\Lambda\Sigma_+ = \Sigma$ and that Σ_+ is a coupling of Γ and Λ_+. Let $X \subset \Sigma_+$ be a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda_+ \curvearrowright \Sigma_+$. Note that X is also a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$. Let $Y_+ \subset \Sigma_+$ be a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma_+$. If $[\Lambda : \Lambda_+] = 2$, then choose $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_+$ and put

$Y = Y_+ \sqcup \lambda Y_+$, which is a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma$. If $\Lambda = \Lambda_+$, then $\Sigma = \Sigma_+$, and put $Y = Y_+$. (We will prove later that the equality $\Lambda = \Lambda_+$ always holds.)

We denote by Φ_+ the restriction of Φ to Σ_+, which is an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda_+)$-equivariant Borel map into $(\mathrm{Aut}(T), \imath, \rho)$. Let $v_i \in V_i(T) = \Gamma/\Gamma_i$ be the vertex corresponding to the coset containing the neutral element for each $i = 1, 2$, and let $e \in E(T)$ be the edge connecting v_1 and v_2. We put

$$\Sigma_i = \Phi_+^{-1}(\mathrm{Stab}(v_i)), \quad \Sigma_e = \Phi_+^{-1}(\mathrm{Stab}(e)), \quad \Lambda_i = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(v_i))$$

for each $i = 1, 2$. Recall that Σ_i is a coupling of Γ_i and Λ_i by Lemma 5.2. Let us further put

$$\bar{\Gamma}_i = \pi_i(\Gamma_i), \quad \bar{A}_i = \pi_i(A_i)$$

for each $i = 1, 2$. By Assumption (†), for each i, we can find a homomorphism $\rho_i \colon \Lambda_i \to G_i$ with $\ker \rho_i$ finite and an almost $(\Gamma_i \times \Lambda_i)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi_i \colon \Sigma_i \to (G_i, \pi_i, \rho_i)$. It follows from Corollary 5.9 that the support of the measure $(\Phi_i)_*(m|_{\Sigma_i})$, denoted by $\Phi_i(\Sigma_i)$, is countable. By replacing ρ_i and Φ_i as in Lemma 5.6, we may assume that for each i, the support of the measure $(\Phi_i)_*(m|_{\Sigma_e})$, denoted by $\Phi_i(\Sigma_e)$, contains the neutral element of G_i and that the inclusions, $\Phi_i(\Sigma_i) \subset \mathrm{Comm}_{G_i}(\bar{\Gamma}_i)$ and $\Phi_i(\Sigma_e) \subset \mathrm{Comm}_{G_i}(\bar{A}_i)$, hold. We prove the following two claims by using the conditions (1) and (2).

Claim 6.3. *The equations*

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_+, \quad Y = Y_+, \quad \Sigma = \Sigma_+, \quad m(X) = m(Y)$$

hold (up to null sets). For each $i = 1, 2$, the homomorphism $\rho_i \colon \Lambda_i \to G_i$ is an isomorphism onto its image and satisfies $\rho_i(\Lambda_i) = \bar{\Gamma}_i$ and $\rho_i(\Lambda_e) = \bar{A}_i$. The equations $\Phi_i(\Sigma_i) = \bar{\Gamma}_i$ and $\Phi_i(\Sigma_e) = \bar{A}_i$ are further satisfied for each $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Fix $i = 1, 2$. Since the action $\Gamma_i \curvearrowright \Sigma_i/\Lambda_i$ is aperiodic, so is the action $\bar{\Gamma}_i \curvearrowright \Phi_i(\Sigma_i)/\rho_i(\Lambda_i)$. We thus have $\bar{\Gamma}_i \subset \rho_i(\Lambda_i)$ and $\Phi_i(\Sigma_i) = \rho_i(\Lambda_i)$ since $\Phi_i(\Sigma_i)$ contains the neutral element of G_i. Note that by Lemma 5.3, we can choose a fundamental domain $X \subset \Sigma$ for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ so that $X \subset \Sigma_i$ because the action $\Gamma_i \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda \simeq \Sigma_+/\Lambda_+$ is ergodic. By Lemma 5.2 (i), we may assume $Y_+ \subset \Sigma_i$. Hence,

$$m(X)/m(Y_+) = |\ker \pi_i|/([\rho_i(\Lambda_i) : \bar{\Gamma}_i]|\ker \rho_i|).$$

It follows from $m(X) \geq m(Y) \geq m(Y_+)$ and $|\ker \pi_i| = 1$ that the equations $\rho_i(\Lambda_i) = \bar{\Gamma}_i$, $m(X) = m(Y) = m(Y_+)$ hold and that ρ_i gives an isomorphism from Λ_i onto its image. Since $\Phi_i(\Sigma_e) \subset \mathrm{Comm}_{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(\bar{A}_i)$ and $\mathrm{LQN}_{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(\bar{A}_i) = \bar{A}_i = \mathrm{Comm}_{\bar{\Gamma}_i}(\bar{A}_i)$, the equation $\Phi_i(\Sigma_e) = \bar{A}_i$ follows, and thus $\rho_i(\Lambda_e) \subset \bar{A}_i$. By Lemma 5.10 (ii), the inclusion $\Phi_i^{-1}(\bar{A}_i) \subset \Sigma_e$ holds. This implies that $\rho_i^{-1}(a)$ fixes the edge e for each $a \in \bar{A}_i$. Thus, $\rho_i(\Lambda_e) = \bar{A}_i$. □

Claim 6.4. *Let us define a Borel map $\Psi \colon \Sigma_e \to \Lambda_e$ by the formula*

$$\Psi(x) = \rho_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(x))\rho_2^{-1}(\Phi_2(x))^{-1}, \quad x \in \Sigma_e.$$

Then Ψ is essentially constant.

Proof. It is easy to see that the equalities

$$\Psi(\gamma x) = \rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)\Psi(x)\rho_2^{-1} \circ \pi_2(\gamma)^{-1}, \qquad \Psi(\lambda x) = \Psi(x)$$

hold for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_e$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_e$ and a.e. $x \in \Sigma_e$. Thus, Ψ induces an essentially Γ_e-equivariant Borel map from Σ_e/Λ_e into Λ_e, where the action $\Gamma_e \curvearrowright \Lambda_e$ is defined by the formula

$$\gamma \cdot \lambda = \rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)\lambda\rho_2^{-1} \circ \pi_2(\gamma)^{-1}$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_e$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_e$. Choose $g \in \Lambda_e$ such that the measure of $\Psi^{-1}(\{g\})$ is positive. Since Σ_e/Λ_e is equipped with a finite Γ_e-invariant measure, the orbit $\Gamma_e \cdot g$ consists of at most finitely many elements. It follows from the ergodicity of the action $\Gamma_e \curvearrowright \Sigma_e/\Lambda_e$ that the image of Ψ is essentially contained in the orbit $\Gamma_e \cdot g$. The condition (2) implies that either the action $\Gamma_e \curvearrowright \Sigma_e/\Lambda_e$ is aperiodic or Λ_e is ICC. It is easy to see that Ψ is constant if the former condition is satisfied. Suppose the latter condition. For any two elements g_1, g_2 in the orbit $\Gamma_e \cdot g$, there exists a finite index subgroup Δ of Γ_e such that $\rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)g_t\rho_2^{-1} \circ \pi_2(\gamma)^{-1} = g_t$ for any $\gamma \in \Delta$ and any $t = 1, 2$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1g_2^{-1} &= (\rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)g_1\rho_2^{-1} \circ \pi_2(\gamma)^{-1})(\rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)g_2\rho_2^{-1} \circ \pi_2(\gamma)^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= \rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)g_1g_2^{-1}\rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Delta$. Since Λ_e is ICC, this equation implies that $g_1g_2^{-1}$ is the identity. This shows that Ψ is constant. $\square$

Let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_e$ be the value of the constant map Ψ. The equality $\rho_2(\lambda_0)\Phi_2(x) = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(x))$ then holds for a.e. $x \in \Sigma_e$. Let us define an isomorphism $\tilde{\pi}_2\colon \Gamma_2 \to \bar{\Gamma}_2$ and an almost $(\Gamma_2 \times \Lambda_2)$-equivariant Borel map $\tilde{\Phi}_2\colon \Sigma_2 \to (\bar{\Gamma}_2, \tilde{\pi}_2, \rho_2)$ by the formulas

$$\tilde{\pi}_2(\gamma) = \rho_2(\lambda_0)\pi_2(\gamma)\rho_2(\lambda_0)^{-1}, \qquad \tilde{\Phi}_2(x) = \rho_2(\lambda_0)\Phi_2(x)$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ and $x \in \Sigma_2$. The equality $\rho_1^{-1} \circ \Phi_1(x) = \rho_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_2(x)$ then holds for a.e. $x \in \Sigma_e$. Since $\Phi_i^{-1}(\{e_i\}) \subset \Sigma_e$ for each i by Lemma 5.10 (ii), the equality $\Phi_1^{-1}(\{e_1\}) = \tilde{\Phi}_2^{-1}(\{e_2\})$ holds, where e_i denotes the neutral element of G_i for each $i = 1, 2$. Let us denote by E the subset $\Phi_1^{-1}(\{e_1\}) = \tilde{\Phi}_2^{-1}(\{e_2\})$ of Σ_e. This E is a fundamental domain for the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Sigma$ and is also a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Sigma$ by ergodicity of the action $A \curvearrowright \Sigma/\Lambda$.

The equation $\rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma) = \rho_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\pi}_2(\gamma)$ holds for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_e$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_2(\gamma)\tilde{\Phi}_2(x) &= \tilde{\Phi}_2(\gamma x) = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(\gamma x)) = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1^{-1}(\pi_1(\gamma)\Phi_1(x)) \\ &= \rho_2 \circ \rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)\rho_2 \circ \rho_1^{-1}(\Phi_1(x)) = \rho_2 \circ \rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma)\tilde{\Phi}_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_e$ and a.e. $x \in \Sigma_e$. Let us define a homomorphism $F\colon \Gamma \to \Lambda$ by

$$F(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_1, \\ \rho_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\pi}_2(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_2. \end{cases}$$

This is well-defined and is an isomorphism as Λ is decomposed as the amalgamated free product $\Lambda_1 *_{\Lambda_e} \Lambda_2$ by Lemma 5.3. Choose an arbitrary element $\gamma = \gamma_1^1\gamma_2^1\gamma_1^2\gamma_2^2\cdots\gamma_1^n\gamma_2^n$ of Γ, where $\gamma_i^j \in \Gamma_i$ for each j and each $i = 1, 2$. The equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_1^j, \rho_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1(\gamma_1^j))\Phi_1^{-1}(\{e_1\}) &= \Phi_1(\{e_1\}), \\ (\gamma_2^j, \rho_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\pi}_2(\gamma_2^j))\tilde{\Phi}_2^{-1}(\{e_2\}) &= \tilde{\Phi}_2(\{e_2\}) \end{aligned}$$

for each j imply the equation $(\gamma, F(\gamma))E = E$ up to null sets. It is then easy to construct an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map from Σ into $(\Gamma, \mathrm{id}, \rho_0)$ with $\rho_0 = F^{-1}$. $\square$

Corollary 6.5. *On Assumption (†), let Λ be a discrete group and suppose that two ergodic f.f.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are WOE. We assume the following two conditions:*

1. *Let $E \subset X$ and $F \subset Y$ be Borel subsets of positive measure on which there exists a Borel isomorphism which gives the WOE between the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$. Then $\mu(E)/\mu(X) \leq \nu(F)/\nu(Y)$; and*
2. *Either the action $A \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is aperiodic or both of the actions $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ are aperiodic, the action $A \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is ergodic, and A is ICC.*

Then $\mu(E)/\mu(X) = \nu(F)/\nu(Y)$, and the cocycle $\alpha \colon \Gamma \times X \to \Lambda$ associated with the WOE is cohomologous to the cocycle arising from an isomorphism from Γ onto Λ. In particular, the two actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ are conjugate.

If some assumptions in the condition (2) are dropped, then one can construct OE between two ergodic f.f.m.p. actions of Γ such that the associated cocycle cannot be cohomologous to a constant cocycle, i.e., a cocycle arising from a homomorphism from Γ into Γ. Construction of such an OE is given in Section 8.

7. Measure equivalence rigidity

The previous section deduces rigidity when ergodicity is imposed on actions of some subgroups of a given amalgamated free product $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$. Assuming a strong restriction to the inclusions $A < \Gamma_1$ and $A < \Gamma_2$ stated as follows, this section proves rigidity without assuming such ergodicity conditions on actions of any proper subgroups of Γ. This allows us to construct examples of ME rigid groups, which will be presented in Section 9.

Assumption (‡): Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be discrete groups and A_i a subgroup of Γ_i for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\phi \colon A_1 \to A_2$ be an isomorphism and set $\Gamma = \langle \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \mid A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2 \rangle$. We denote by A the subgroup of Γ corresponding to $A_1 \simeq_\phi A_2$. Let T be the Bass-Serre tree associated with the decomposition of Γ and $\imath \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ the homomorphism arising from the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright T$. We assume that for each $i = 1, 2$,

- (a) $|A_i| = \infty$, $[\Gamma_i : A_i] = \infty$ and $\mathrm{Comm}_{\Gamma_i}(A_i) = A_i$;
- (b) Γ_i is coupling rigid with respect to the pair (G_i, π_i) of a standard Borel group G_i and a homomorphism $\pi_i \colon \Gamma_i \to G_i$ with $\ker \pi_i$ trivial;
- (c) either G_i is countable or Γ_i is a lattice in a non-compact connected simple Lie group G_i^0 with its center trivial and its real rank at least two, G_i is equal to $\mathrm{Aut}(G_i^0)$, and π_i is the inclusion into G_i; and
- (d) $\ker \imath$ is finite, and Γ is coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$.

For each $i = 1, 2$, we set

$$\bar{\Gamma}_i = \pi_i(\Gamma_i), \quad \bar{A}_i = \pi_i(A_i), \quad C_i = \mathrm{Comm}_{G_i}(\bar{\Gamma}_i), \quad C(\bar{A}_i) = \mathrm{Comm}_{C_i}(\bar{A}_i).$$

Finally, we suppose the following two conditions:

- (e) $\pi_2 \circ \phi \circ \pi_1^{-1} \colon \bar{A}_1 \to \bar{A}_2$ extends to an isomorphism $\bar{\phi} \colon C(\bar{A}_1) \to C(\bar{A}_2)$.
- (f) For each $i = 1, 2$, $C(\bar{A}_i)$ is ICC with respect to $\bar{A}_i$.

Set $G = \langle C_1, C_2 \mid C(\bar{A}_1) \simeq_{\bar{\phi}} C(\bar{A}_2) \rangle$, and let $C(\bar{A})$ denote the identified subgroup $C(\bar{A}_1) \simeq_{\bar{\phi}} C(\bar{A}_2)$ of G. Note that both C_1 and C_2 are countable. It is easy to see that π_1 and π_2 induce an injective homomorphism $\pi \colon \Gamma \to G$ since by the condition (a), we have $\bar{\Gamma}_i \cap C(\bar{A}_i) = \bar{A}_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$.

Remark 7.1. In general, if M is a subgroup of a group N with $\mathrm{LQN}_N(M) = M$, then we have $\mathrm{Comm}_N(M) = M$. The group Γ in Assumption ($\star$) thus satisfies the conditions (a) and (d) in Assumption (‡).

As noted in Remark 6.1, the conditions (b) and (d) in Assumption (‡) implies that $\ker \imath$ is trivial.

The condition (f) in Assumption (‡) implies a strong interaction between $\bar{A}_i$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ for each i. Indeed, it is easy to check that this condition implies the following two assertions:

- For each $i = 1, 2$, $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ is ICC with respect to $\bar{A}_i$. In particular, $\bar{A}_i$ is ICC.
- For each $i = 1, 2$, any isomorphism between finite index subgroups of $\bar{\Gamma}_i$ which is the identity on some finite index subgroup of $\bar{A}_i$ is the restriction of the identity.

The condition (f) also implies uniqueness of the extension $\bar{\phi}$ of the isomorphism $\pi_2 \circ \phi \circ \pi_1^{-1}$.

It will be shown that $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is countable and that Γ is coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ on Assumption (‡). As a first step for the proof, we construct an almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from a self-coupling of Γ into the $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-space (G, π, π) when a mild condition is imposed on the coupling. The following two lemmas are preliminaries for this first step.

Lemma 7.2. *Let Γ be a discrete group and (G, π) a pair of a standard Borel group G and a homomorphism $\pi\colon \Gamma \to G$ with $\ker \pi$ finite. Suppose that we have a self-coupling (Σ, m) of Γ and an almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (G, \pi, \pi)$ such that the measure $\Phi_* m$ on G is atomic. Then the support of $\Phi_* m$ is contained in $\mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma))$.*

Proof. Note that any point $g \in G$ contained in the support of $\Phi_* m$ has finite measure with respect to $\Phi_* m$ because $\ker \pi$ is finite. Pick $g \in G$ in the support of $\Phi_* m$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the orbit for the action $\Gamma \times \Gamma \curvearrowright (G, \pi, \pi)$ containing g. It is easy to see that this action defines a coupling with respect to the measure $\Phi_* m$. This implies that the set of all left (resp. right) cosets of $\pi(\Gamma)$ contained in $\mathcal{O}$ consists of at most finitely many elements. Since $\pi(\Gamma)$ acts on this finite set, there exists a finite index subgroup Γ' of $\pi(\Gamma)$ contained in $g\pi(\Gamma)g^{-1}$ and $g^{-1}\pi(\Gamma)g$. Therefore, $g \in \mathrm{Comm}_G(\pi(\Gamma))$. □

Lemma 7.3. *On Assumption (‡), let Γ_1' and Γ_2' be finite index subgroups of $\bar{\Gamma}_1 = \pi(\Gamma_1)$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_2 = \pi(\Gamma_2)$, respectively. If $g \in G$ satisfies the equation $\gamma g \gamma^{-1} = g$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_1' \cup \Gamma_2'$, then g is the identity.*

Proof. Assuming that g is non-trivial, we deduce a contradiction. One can then write g as a normal form $g = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n$ with respect to the decomposition $G = \langle C_1, C_2 \mid C(\bar{A}_1) \simeq_{\bar{\phi}} C(\bar{A}_2) \rangle$, which satisfies the following four conditions (see IV.2.6 in [21]):

- Each c_i belongs to either C_1 or C_2.
- Any two successive elements c_i and c_{i+1} belong to different factors C_1 and C_2, respectively.
- When $n > 1$, any c_i does not belong to $C(\bar{A})$.
- When $n = 1$, c_1 is non-trivial.

Conversely, it is known that any product of elements of C_1 and C_2 satisfying these four conditions is non-trivial in G. It is easy to deduce a contradiction when $n = 1$.

Suppose that n is bigger than one. We first consider the case where both c_1 and c_n are in $C_1 \setminus C(\bar{A})$. It follows that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_2' \setminus \bar{A}_2$, the product

$$\gamma g \gamma^{-1} g^{-1} = \gamma c_1 \cdots c_n \gamma^{-1} c_n^{-1} \cdots c_1^{-1}$$

is a normal form since $\gamma \in C_2 \setminus C(\bar{A})$. Thus, this product is non-trivial in G, a contradiction. In the same way, one can deduce a contradiction in the case of $c_1, c_n \in C_2 \setminus C(\bar{A})$.

We next assume $c_1 \in C_1 \setminus C(\bar{A})$ and $c_n \in C_2 \setminus C(\bar{A})$. For any two elements $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_1'$, if both γc_1 and $\gamma' c_1$ belong to $C(\bar{A})$, then $\gamma' \gamma^{-1} = (\gamma' c_1)(\gamma c_1)^{-1}$ also belongs to $C(\bar{A})$. Hence, γ and γ' are in the same right coset of $\bar{A}_1 \cap \Gamma_1' = C(\bar{A}) \cap \Gamma_1'$ in Γ_1'. Since the index of $\bar{A}_1 \cap \Gamma_1'$ in Γ_1' is infinite, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_1' \setminus \bar{A}_1$ satisfying $\gamma c_1 \notin C(\bar{A})$. It then follows that the product

$$\gamma g \gamma^{-1} g^{-1} = (\gamma c_1) c_2 \cdots c_n \gamma^{-1} c_n^{-1} \cdots c_1^{-1}$$

is a normal form and is non-trivial. This is a contradiction. The proof for the case of $c_1 \in C_2 \setminus C(\bar{A})$ and $c_n \in C_1 \setminus C(\bar{A})$ is given in the same way. □

Theorem 7.4. *On Assumption* (‡), *let* (Σ, m) *be a self-coupling of* Γ. *Suppose that we have an almost* $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$*-equivariant Borel map* $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}(T), \imath, \imath)$. *Then there exists an essentially unique, almost* $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$*-equivariant Borel map* $\Phi_0\colon \Sigma \to (G, \pi, \pi)$.

Proof. We use the notation employed in Section 5.2. For each simplex $s \in V(T) \cup E(T)$, we set

$$\Sigma_s = \Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Stab}(s)), \quad \Gamma_s = \imath^{-1}(\imath(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(s))$$

and denote by $v_i \in V_i(T) = \Gamma/\Gamma_i$ the vertex corresponding to the coset containing the neutral element for $i = 1, 2$. In particular, let us put $\Sigma_i = \Sigma_{v_i}$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Recall that Σ_s is a self-coupling of Γ_s for each $s \in V(T) \cup E(T)$ (see Lemma 5.2). Given $v \in V(T)$ and $e \in E(T)$, we put

$$C_v = \pi(\gamma) C_i \pi(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_e = \pi(\Gamma_e),$$

where $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ are chosen so that $\gamma v_i = v$. This definition of the subgroup C_v of G does not depend on the choice of γ. The proof of the theorem consists of the following four claims.

Claim 7.5. *For each* $v \in V(T)$, *there exists an essentially unique, almost* $(\Gamma_v \times \Gamma_v)$*-equivariant Borel map* $\Phi_v\colon \Sigma_v \to (C_v, \pi, \pi)$.

Proof. Choose $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $C_v = \pi(\gamma) C_i \pi(\gamma)^{-1}$. Since Γ_i is coupling rigid with respect to (G_i, π_i), there exists an essentially unique, almost $(\Gamma_i \times \Gamma_i)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi_i\colon \Sigma_i \to (G_i, \pi_i, \pi_i)$. It follows from Corollary 5.9 that the measure $(\Phi_i)_*(m|_{\Sigma_i})$ on G_i is atomic. By Lemma 7.2, its support $\Phi_i(\Sigma_i)$ is contained in C_i. The map Φ_v is then defined so that the following diagram commutes, where the map from Σ_v into Σ_i is given by the action of the element $(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Sigma_v \arrow[r, "\Phi_v"] \arrow[d, "{(\gamma^{-1},\gamma^{-1})}"'] & C_v \\
\Sigma_i \arrow[r, "\Phi_i"] & C_i \arrow[u, "\mathrm{Ad}\pi(\gamma)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is clear that the map Φ_v is almost $(\Gamma_v \times \Gamma_v)$-equivariant. Essential uniqueness of Φ_v follows from Lemma 3.4 (i). □

Claim 7.6. *Let $u, v \in V(T)$ be any two adjacent vertices and denote by $e \in E(T)$ the edge connecting u and v. Then the two maps $\Phi_u\colon \Sigma_u \to C_u$ and $\Phi_v\colon \Sigma_v \to C_v$ essentially coincide on $\Sigma_e = \Sigma_u \cap \Sigma_v$.*

Proof. Define a Borel map $\Psi\colon \Sigma_e \to G$ by $\Psi(x) = \Phi_u(x)^{-1}\Phi_v(x)$ for $x \in \Sigma_e$. This map satisfies the equation

$$\Psi((\gamma_1, \gamma_2)x) = \pi(\gamma_2)\Psi(x)\pi(\gamma_2)^{-1}$$

for any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_e$ and a.e. $x \in \Sigma_e$. By Lemma 7.2, the support of the measure $(\Phi_w)_*(m|_{\Sigma_e})$ is contained in $\mathrm{Comm}_{C_w}(\bar{\Gamma}_e)$ for each $w \in \{u, v\}$. By definition, $\mathrm{Comm}_{C_u}(\bar{\Gamma}_e)$ and $\mathrm{Comm}_{C_v}(\bar{\Gamma}_e)$ are identified in G. The image of Ψ is hence contained in this identified subgroup of G. One can then prove that $\Psi(x)$ is the identity for a.e. $x \in \Sigma_e$ by using the condition (f) in Assumption (‡). □

Let us introduce the new notation in order to distinguish the two actions of Γ on Σ. Given any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let us set

$$L(\gamma) = (\gamma, 1_\Gamma) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma, \quad R(\gamma) = (1_\Gamma, \gamma) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma$$

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where 1_Γ is the neutral element of Γ.

Claim 7.7. *For each $v \in V(T)$, one can extend the map $\Phi_v\colon \Sigma_v \to C_v$ to a Borel map $\tilde{\Phi}_v\colon \Sigma \to G$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}_v(L(\gamma)x) = \pi(\gamma)\tilde{\Phi}_v(x)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. This map $\tilde{\Phi}_v$ satisfies the equation $\tilde{\Phi}_v(R(\lambda)x) = \tilde{\Phi}_v(x)\pi(\lambda)^{-1}$ for any $\lambda \in \Gamma_v$ and a.e. $x \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. For any two vertices $u, v \in V(T)$, we put

$$\Sigma_v^u = \Phi^{-1}(\{\, f \in \mathrm{Aut}(T) \mid f(v) = u \,\}).$$

It is clear that for each $v \in V(T)$, Σ is essentially equal to the disjoint union of Σ_v^u for all $u \in V(T)$.

Fix any vertex $v \in V(T)$. Choose any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and any Borel subsets $Z_1, Z_2 \subset \Sigma_v$ of positive measure satisfying

$$L(\gamma_1)Z_1 = L(\gamma_2)Z_2 \subset \Sigma_v^u$$

for some $u \in V(T)$. One can then find $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying $\gamma v = u$. This implies that both $\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1$ and $\gamma^{-1}\gamma_2$ belong to Γ_v. Since the map $\Phi_v\colon \Sigma_v \to (G, \pi, \pi)$ is almost $(\Gamma_v \times \Gamma_v)$-equivariant, the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\gamma_1)\Phi_v(x_1) =&\pi(\gamma)\pi(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1)\Phi_v(x_1) = \pi(\gamma)\Phi_v(L(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1)x_1)\\ =&\pi(\gamma)\Phi_v(L(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_2)x_2) = \pi(\gamma)\pi(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_2)\Phi_v(x_2) = \pi(\gamma_2)\Phi_v(x_2)\end{aligned}$$

holds for a.e. $x_1 \in Z_1$ and $x_2 \in Z_2$ with $L(\gamma_1)x_1 = L(\gamma_2)x_2$. It is clear that this equation proves the first assertion of the claim.

Choose any $\lambda \in \Gamma_v$ and any Borel subset $W \subset \Sigma$ of positive measure such that $R(\lambda)W$ is contained in Σ_v^u for some $u \in V(T)$. Pick $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma v = u$. This γ satisfies $L(\gamma^{-1})R(\lambda)x \in \Sigma_v$ for a.e. $x \in W$. As Φ_v is almost $(\Gamma_v \times \Gamma_v)$-equivariant, the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_v(R(\lambda)x) &= \pi(\gamma)\Phi_v(L(\gamma^{-1})R(\lambda)x) = \pi(\gamma)\Phi_v(L(\gamma^{-1})x)\pi(\lambda)^{-1}\\ &= \tilde{\Phi}_v(x)\pi(\lambda)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

holds for a.e. $x \in W$, and this proves the second assertion. □

Claim 7.8. *For any two vertices $u, v \in V(T)$, the two maps $\tilde{\Phi}_u, \tilde{\Phi}_v \colon \Sigma \to G$ are essentially equal.*

Proof. We may assume that u and v are adjacent vertices. Let $e \in E(T)$ be the edge connecting u and v. Choose any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and any Borel subsets $Z_1 \subset \Sigma_u$ and $Z_2 \subset \Sigma_v$ of positive measure satisfying

$$L(\gamma_1)Z_1 = L(\gamma_2)Z_2 \quad \text{and} \quad Z_1 \subset \Sigma_v^w$$

for some $w \in V(T)$, where we use the same notation as in the proof of Claim 7.7. Since w is in the link of u, there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_u$ satisfying $\gamma v = w$. It follows from $L(\gamma^{-1})Z_1 = L(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2)Z_2 \subset \Sigma_e$ that $\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2$ belongs to Γ_v. By Claim 7.6, the equation

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\gamma_1)\Phi_u(x_1) &= \pi(\gamma_1\gamma)\Phi_u(L(\gamma^{-1})x_1) = \pi(\gamma_1\gamma)\Phi_v(L(\gamma^{-1})x_1)\\ &= \pi(\gamma_1\gamma)\Phi_v(L(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2)x_2) = \pi(\gamma_1\gamma)\pi(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2)\Phi_v(x_2)\\ &= \pi(\gamma_2)\Phi_v(x_2)\end{aligned}$$

holds for a.e. $x_1 \in Z_1$ and $x_2 \in Z_2$ with $L(\gamma_1)x_1 = L(\gamma_2)x_2$. It is clear that this equation proves the claim. □

Let us denote by $\Phi_0 \colon \Sigma \to G$ the common map $\tilde{\Phi}_v$ for all $v \in V(T)$. The map $\Phi_0 \colon \Sigma \to (G, \pi, \pi)$ is almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant since Γ is generated by Γ_u and Γ_v for any adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(T)$. Essential uniqueness of Φ_0 follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 7.3. □

Theorem 7.9. *On Assumption (‡), there exist a subgroup* $\mathrm{Comm}^+(\Gamma)$ *of index at most two in* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ *and an injective homomorphism* $\bar{\pi} \colon \mathrm{Comm}^+(\Gamma) \to G$ *satisfying the equation*

$$\pi(f(\gamma)) = \bar{\pi}(f)\pi(\gamma)\bar{\pi}(f)^{-1}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma'$$

for any isomorphism $f \colon \Gamma' \to \Gamma''$ between finite index subgroups of Γ representing an element of $\mathrm{Comm}^+(\Gamma)$*. In particular,* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ *is countable.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.9 that there exists an injective homomorphism $\bar{\imath} \colon \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma) \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ satisfying the equation

$$\imath(f(\gamma)) = \bar{\imath}(f)\imath(\gamma)\bar{\imath}(f)^{-1}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma'$$

for any isomorphism $f \colon \Gamma' \to \Gamma''$ between finite index subgroups of Γ. Let us set $\mathrm{Comm}^+(\Gamma) = \bar{\imath}^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$. One can associate to each $f \in \mathrm{Comm}^+(\Gamma)$ the self-coupling Σ_f of Γ as in the proof of Lemma 3.9. It is obvious that the image of the unique, almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Σ_f into $(\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \imath)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$. By Theorem 7.4, one can find an essentially unique, almost $(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Σ_f into (G, π, π). Following the proof of Lemma 3.9, we obtain a homomorphism $\bar{\pi} \colon \mathrm{Comm}^+(\Gamma) \to G$ satisfying the desired equation. Since $\pi \colon \Gamma \to G$ is injective, so is $\bar{\pi}$. □

Theorem 7.10. *On Assumption (‡), Γ is coupling rigid with respect to* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ *and is thus ME rigid.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ is countable by Theorem 7.9. Let (Σ, m) be a self-coupling of Γ. By Theorem 4.2, there exists an almost $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi \colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \imath)$. If the $(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$-invariant Borel subset $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ has positive measure, then we can apply Theorem 7.4 to Φ, and we obtain an almost

$(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ into $(\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma), \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$ by Lemma 3.11.

In what follows, we assume that the measure of the subset $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ is zero. It is enough to construct an almost $(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Σ into $(\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma), \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$ in this case. To distinguish the two actions of Γ on Σ, we use the following notation in the proof of Theorem 7.4: Given any $\gamma\in\Gamma$, we set

$$L(\gamma)=(\gamma, 1_\Gamma)\in\Gamma\times\Gamma,\quad R(\gamma)=(1_\Gamma,\gamma)\in\Gamma\times\Gamma$$

for each $\gamma\in\Gamma$, where 1_Γ is the neutral element of Γ. Let us consider the two actions of $\Gamma\times\Gamma$ on $\Sigma\times\Gamma\times\Sigma$ defined by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)(x,\lambda,y)&=(L(\gamma_1)x,\lambda,L(\gamma_2)y),\\ (\lambda_1,\lambda_2)(x,\lambda,y)&=(R(\lambda_1)x,\lambda_1\lambda\lambda_2^{-1},R(\lambda_2)y)\end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\lambda,\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in\Gamma$ and $x,y\in\Sigma$, respectively. We denote by Ω the quotient space of $\Sigma\times\Gamma\times\Sigma$ by the second action of $\Gamma\times\Gamma$. The first action of $\Gamma\times\Gamma$ induces an action $\Gamma\times\Gamma\curvearrowright\Omega$, which defines a self-coupling of Γ. It is easy to see that the map

$$\Sigma\times\Gamma\times\Sigma\ni(x,\lambda,y)\mapsto\Phi(x)\imath(\lambda)\Phi(y)^{-1}\in\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$$

induces an almost $(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Ω into $(\mathrm{Aut}^*(T),\imath,\imath)$, whose image is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(T)$ by our assumption. One can then find an almost $(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Ω into G by applying Theorem 7.4. The proof of Theorem 3.5 shows that there exist a homomorphism $\rho\colon\Gamma\to G$ with $\ker\rho$ finite and an almost $(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Σ into (G,π,ρ). Since Γ is ICC by Lemma 7.3, the kernel of ρ is trivial. It follows from Lemma 3.11 that there exists an almost $(\Gamma\times\Gamma)$-equivariant Borel map from Σ into $(\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma),\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i})$. $\square$

Remark 7.11. On Assumption $(\star)$, let (Σ,m) be a coupling of Γ and a discrete group Λ. Suppose that we are given a countable Borel space C on which $\Gamma\times\Lambda$ acts so that each action of Γ and Λ is free, and an almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi_0\colon\Sigma\to C$. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that there exist a homomorphism $\rho\colon\Lambda\to\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ and an almost $(\Gamma\times\Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon\Sigma\to(\mathrm{Aut}^*(T),\imath,\rho)$. Then we can prove that the measure Φ_*m on $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ is atomic as follows. This implies that $\ker\rho$ is finite and that $\imath(\Gamma)$ and some conjugate of $\rho(\Lambda)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ are commensurable.

Choose $c\in C$ such that the measure of $X_c=\Phi_0^{-1}(\{c\})$ is positive. It is easy to see that if F is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda\curvearrowright C$ containing c, then $X=\Phi_0^{-1}(F)$ is a fundamental domain for the action $\Lambda\curvearrowright\Sigma$. It follows that X_c is invariant under the stabilizer Γ_c of c for the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright F$, which is a finite index subgroup of Γ. Let us consider the Borel map $\Phi_c\colon X_c\times X_c\to\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ defined by the formula

$$\Phi_c(x,y)=\Phi(x)\Phi(y)^{-1}\quad\text{for } (x,y)\in X_c\times X_c.$$

This map satisfies the equation $\Phi_c(\gamma\cdot x,\gamma\cdot y)=\imath(\gamma)\Phi_c(x,y)\imath(\gamma)^{-1}$ for any $\gamma\in\Gamma_c$ and a.e. $(x,y)\in X_c\times X_c$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that Φ_c is essentially constant and its value is the neutral element of $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$. Thus, Φ is also essentially constant on X_c. This proves that the measure Φ_*m on $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ is atomic.

In particular, the above claim shows that on Assumption $(\ddagger)$, if (Σ,m) is a coupling of Γ and a discrete group Λ and if $\rho\colon\Lambda\to\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ is the homomorphism obtained as an application of Theorem 3.5, then $\ker\rho$ is finite, and $\imath(\Gamma)$ and some conjugate of $\rho(\Lambda)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ are commensurable.

8. Twisted actions of amalgamated free products

The universality of amalgamated free products enables us to find examples of them or their actions which do not hold a variety of rigidity discussed in the previous two sections. This section gives a method to construct such examples by twisting the action of one factor subgroup when an ergodic f.f.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of an amalgamated free product $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ is given. More precisely, one can twist the action $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ by inner conjugation in the sense of orbit equivalence, keeping the action $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ fixed. It turns out that the original action and the new twisted action of Γ are OE with respect to the identity map on X.

8.1. **Twisted actions.** Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be an amalgamated free product of discrete groups. Suppose that we are given a f.f.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ on a standard probability space (X, μ) and a Borel map $\varphi\colon X \to A$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(a) The map $X \ni x \mapsto \varphi(x)^{-1}x \in X$ defines a Borel isomorphism between conull Borel subsets of X. We denote by f_φ this isomorphism.

(b) The following equation holds:

$$\varphi(ax)a\varphi(x)^{-1} = a, \quad \forall a \in A, \text{ a.e. } x \in X.$$

Let (Y, ν) be a copy of (X, μ). We construct a f.f.m.p. action of Γ on (Y, ν) by twisting the action of Γ_2 as follows: Let $I\colon X \to Y$ be the identity map. Define an action of Γ on Y by the formulas

$$\gamma_1 y = I(\gamma_1 I^{-1}(y)), \quad \gamma_2 y = I \circ f_\varphi(\gamma_2 (I \circ f_\varphi)^{-1}(y))$$

for $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$, $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ and $y \in Y$. Since the equation

$$af_\varphi(x) = a\varphi(x)^{-1}x = \varphi(ax)^{-1}ax = f_\varphi(ax)$$

holds for any $a \in A$ and a.e. $x \in X$, this action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is well-defined. One can check that this action is essentially free since φ is valued in A.

It is obvious that the map $I\colon X \to Y$ gives OE between the two actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright Y$ and that the associated OE cocycle $\alpha\colon \Gamma \times X \to \Gamma$ is given by the formulas

$$\alpha(\gamma_1, x) = \gamma_1, \quad \alpha(\gamma_2, x) = \varphi(\gamma_2 x)\gamma_2\varphi(x)^{-1}$$

for any $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$, $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ and a.e. $x \in X$.

8.2. **Groups which are not coupling rigid.** We keep the same notation as in Section 8.1. Let $\bar{\alpha}\colon \Gamma \times X \to \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ be the composition of α with the natural homomorphism $\mathbf{i}\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose that Γ is ICC with respect to Γ_1 and the action $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright X$ is aperiodic. If there exists a Borel map $\psi\colon X \to \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ satisfying the equation*

$$\psi(\gamma x)\bar{\alpha}(\gamma, x)\psi(x)^{-1} = \gamma, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \text{ a.e. } x \in X,$$

then φ is essentially constant.

Proof. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is aperiodic, the equation $\gamma\psi(x) = \psi(\gamma x)\bar{\alpha}(\gamma, x)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a.e. $x \in X$ implies that there exists $g_0 \in \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ with $\psi(X) \in g_0\Gamma$ for a.e. $x \in X$, where $\psi(X)$ denotes the support of the measure $\psi_*\mu$ on $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$. We may assume $g_0 \in \psi(X)$.

We claim that the equality $\psi(X) = \{g_0\}$ holds. Pick $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $g_0\gamma \in \psi(X)$. Since the equation $\psi(\gamma_1 x) = \gamma_1\psi(x)\gamma_1^{-1}$ holds for any $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_1$ and a.e. $x \in X$, each

$g \in \psi(X)$ centralizes a finite index subgroup of Γ_1. We thus have a finite index subgroup Δ of Γ_1 contained in the centralizers of g_0 and $g_0\gamma$. For each $\gamma_1 \in \Delta$, the equation $g_0\gamma = \gamma_1 g_0 \gamma \gamma_1^{-1} = g_0 \gamma_1 \gamma \gamma_1^{-1}$ implies that Δ is contained in the centralizer of γ. Since Γ is ICC with respect to Γ_1, we see that γ is the identity, and this proves the claim.

We therefore have the equation $g_0\varphi(\gamma_2 x) = \gamma_2 g_0 \varphi(x) \gamma_2^{-1}$ for any $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ and a.e. $x \in X$. Aperiodicity of the action $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright X$ implies that φ is essentially constant. $\square$

The following lemma gives a sufficient condition for Γ to satisfy the ICC assumption in Lemma 8.1.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be an amalgamated free product of discrete groups such that $[\Gamma_1 : A] = \infty$ and Γ_1 is ICC. Then Γ is ICC with respect to Γ_1.*

Proof. Let T be the Bass-Serre tree associated with the decomposition $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$. We denote by $V_1(T) = \Gamma/\Gamma_1$ the set of vertices corresponding to left cosets of Γ_1 in Γ. Recall that Γ_1 acts on T so that Γ_1 fixes the vertex $v_1 \in V_1(T)$ corresponding to the coset containing the neutral element and acts on the link of v_1 transitively. Since A is of infinite index in Γ_1, the link of v_1 consists of infinitely many elements. Each orbit for the action $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright V_1(T)$ hence consists of either the single vertex v_1 or infinitely many elements.

If μ is a probability measure on Γ which is invariant under conjugation of any element of Γ_1, then consider the natural quotient map $q\colon \Gamma \to \Gamma/\Gamma_1 = V_1(T)$. This map satisfies the equation $q(\gamma g \gamma^{-1}) = \gamma g v_1$ for any $g \in \Gamma$ and any $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. The observation of the previous paragraph proves that the support of μ is contained in Γ_1. Since Γ_1 is ICC, μ is the Dirac measure on the neutral element. $\square$

If A is of infinite index in Γ_2 and is not ICC, then one can easily find a f.f.m.p action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and a Borel map $\varphi\colon X \to A$ such that

- the action $\Gamma_2 \curvearrowright X$ is aperiodic; and
- φ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) in Section 8.1 and is not essentially constant.

Lemma 8.1 then implies the following:

Proposition 8.3. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ be an amalgamated free product of discrete groups such that $[\Gamma_1 : A] = \infty$, $[\Gamma_2 : A] = \infty$, Γ_1 is ICC, and A is not ICC. Then Γ is not coupling rigid with respect to* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

9. EXAMPLES OF ME RIGID GROUPS

This section provides two kinds of subgroups A of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ with $n \geq 3$ such that (the quotient by the center of) the amalgamated free product $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) *_A SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ fulfills all conditions in Assumption (‡), and thus it is ME rigid. The simplest examples of them are presented in Theorem 1.5.

The following classical theorem describes all automorphisms of $(P)SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and will be applied repeatedly throughout this section. The reader should consult [7], [14] and references therein for details and more general results.

Theorem 9.1. *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

(i) *for any automorphism f of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, there exists $g_0 \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that either*
  - *$f(g) = g_0 g g_0^{-1}$ for any $g \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$; or*

- *$f(g) = g_0({}^tg)^{-1}g_0^{-1}$ for any $g \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$,*

  where ${}^tg$ denotes the transpose of a matrix $g \in SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

(ii) *any automorphism of $PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is induced from a unique automorphism of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.*

Note that any automorphism of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is given by conjugation of an element of $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ because of the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} a \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = ({}^ta)^{-1}, \quad \forall a \in SL(2, \mathbb{R}).$$

9.1. **Tensor products.** In this subsection, we mean by a vector space a finite-dimensional one defined over the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers unless otherwise stated. When V is a finite-dimensional vector space defined over $\mathbb{R}$, we denote by $GL(V)$ the group of all linear automorphisms on V over $\mathbb{R}$, and by $SL(V)$ the subgroup of $GL(V)$ consisting of all linear automorphisms whose determinants are equal to one. We denote by I_V the identity map on V.

Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be vector spaces and put $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$. We define a homomorphism $T\colon GL(V_1) \times \cdots \times GL(V_n) \to GL(V)$ by the formula

$$(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \mapsto g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

for $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in GL(V_1) \times \cdots \times GL(V_n)$, where $g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$ acts on V by

$$(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = g_1v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_nv_n$$

for $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \in V$. Given a subgroup G_i of $GL(V_i)$ for each i, let $G_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes G_n$ denote the image $T(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n)$. Note that the image of $SL(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes SL(V_n)$ in $PSL(V)$ is isomorphic to $PSL(V_1) \times \cdots \times PSL(V_n)$. The following three lemmas help us to compute the centralizer and normalizer in $GL(V)$ of the subgroup $SL(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes SL(V_n)$.

Lemma 9.2. *Let V_1 and V_2 be vector spaces and put $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$. If G is a subgroup of $SL(V_2)$ and if $g_0 \in GL(V)$ commutes with any element of $SL(V_1) \otimes G$, then g_0 is written as $g_0 = I_{V_1} \otimes z$ for some $z \in \mathrm{Z}_{GL(V_2)}(G)$.*

Proof. Fix a basis for V_1 and decompose g_0 as the matrix $g_0 = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with respect to the basis, where $g_{ij} \in \mathrm{End}(V_2)$ and $n = \dim V_1$. Let $E_{ij} \in \mathrm{End}(V_1)$ be the elementary matrix whose (i, j)-entry is one and any other entries are zero with respect to the basis. It then follows that

- the matrix $g_0((I_{V_1} + E_{ij}) \otimes I_{V_2})$ is given by adding the i-th column of g_0 to the j-th column of g_0; and
- the matrix $((I_{V_1} + E_{ij}) \otimes I_{V_2})g_0$ is given by adding the j-th row of g_0 to the i-th row of g_0.

By assumption, the two matrices $g_0((I_{V_1} + E_{ij}) \otimes I_{V_2})$ and $((I_{V_1} + E_{ij}) \otimes I_{V_2})g_0$ coincide if i and j are distinct. It is then easy to prove that the matrix g_0 is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are all the same and lie in $\mathrm{Z}_{GL(V_2)}(G)$. ☐

Lemma 9.3. *Let V_1 and V_2 be vector spaces and put $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$. Suppose that $g_0 \in GL(V)$ satisfies*

$$g_0(SL(V_1) \otimes I_{V_2})g_0^{-1} = SL(V_1) \otimes I_{V_2}.$$

Then the automorphism of $SL(V_1)$ induced by g_0 coincides with the conjugation of an element of $GL(V_1)$.

Proof. We put $n = \dim V_1$ and may assume $n \geq 2$. Since any automorphism of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is given by conjugation of an element of $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$, we may assume $n \geq 3$. Fix a basis for V_1. By Theorem 9.1 (i), if the conclusion of the lemma were not true, then we would obtain the equation

$$g_0(a \otimes I_{V_2})g_0^{-1} = ({}^t a)^{-1} \otimes I_{V_2}, \quad \forall a \in SL(V_1)$$

after multiplying g_0 by an appropriate element of $GL(V_1) \otimes I_{V_2}$, where ${}^t a$ denotes the transpose of a matrix $a \in SL(V_1)$ with respect to the chosen basis. Let $a \in SL(V_1)$ be the matrix whose entries and transpose-inverse are given by the formulas

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ({}^t a)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -a_{12} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -a_{13} & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -a_{1n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{1j} \in \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary element. Decomposing g_0 as the matrix $g_0 = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with $g_{ij} \in \mathrm{End}(V_2)$, one obtains

$$g_0(a \otimes I_{V_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & a_{12}g_{11} + g_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n}g_{11} + g_{1n} \\ g_{21} & a_{12}g_{21} + g_{22} & \cdots & a_{1n}g_{21} + g_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(({}^t a)^{-1} \otimes I_{V_2})g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1n} \\ -a_{12}g_{11} + g_{21} & -a_{12}g_{12} + g_{22} & \cdots & -a_{12}g_{1n} + g_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since these two products coincide for any $a_{1j} \in \mathbb{R}$, one can deduce the equations

$$g_{11} = 0, \quad g_{12} = -g_{21}, \quad g_{21} = g_{13} = \cdots = g_{1n} = 0$$

by comparing the $(1, j)$-entries for $j \geq 2$, the $(2, 2)$-entry and the $(2, j)$-entries for $j \geq 3$, respectively. Therefore, $g_{1j} = 0$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$. This contradicts $g_0 \in GL(V)$. ☐

Lemma 9.4. *Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be vector spaces and put $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$. We define the subgroups H, H_i of $SL(V)$ as*

$$H = SL(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes SL(V_n),$$
$$H_i = I_{V_1} \otimes \cdots I_{V_{i-1}} \otimes SL(V_i) \otimes I_{V_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{V_n}$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the equality

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{N}_{GL(V)}(H_i) = GL(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes GL(V_n)$$

holds, and the normalizer $\mathrm{N}_{SL(V)}(H)$ contains H as a finite index subgroup.

Proof. We denote by L and R the left and right hand sides of the equality in the lemma, respectively. It is obvious that R is contained in L. Pick any $g_0 \in L$. By Lemma 9.3, we may assume that g_0 commutes with any element of H by multiplying an appropriate element of R to g_0. By Lemma 9.2, g_0 belongs to the center of $GL(V)$, which is contained in R. The latter assertion follows from the equality $L = R$ and the fact that $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are the whole connected simple normal subgroups of H that are non-trivial and proper if $\dim V_i \geq 2$ for all i. ☐

Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ and put $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$. If a basis Δ_i for V_i is chosen for each i, then it is clear that

$$\Delta = \{\, e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n \mid e_i \in \Delta_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n \,\}$$

forms a basis for V. In the rest of this subsection, we fix these bases for V_i and V.

Proposition 9.5. *Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be finite-dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$ with $\dim V_i \geq 2$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Fix bases Δ_i for V_i for each i and Δ for $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$ as above. We put*

$$G = SL(V), \quad H = SL(V_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes SL(V_n).$$

Given a subgroup K of $GL(V)$, we denote by $K_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the group consisting of all elements of K which preserve the set of integral points $\sum_{e \in \Delta} \mathbb{Z}e$. Then the subgroups $\Gamma = G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $A = \mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of G satisfy the following:

- (i) *The equation $\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma}(A) = A$ holds.*
- (ii) *For any finite index subgroup A' of A, the identity is the only automorphism of G fixing all elements of A'.*

Proof. Pick $\gamma \in \mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma}(A)$. By definition, a finite index subgroup of A is contained in $\gamma A \gamma^{-1}$. Since H is a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors and since $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a finite index subgroup of A by Lemma 9.4, the argument of Zariski closures show the inclusion $H < \gamma H \gamma^{-1}$. By comparing the dimension, the equation $H = \gamma H \gamma^{-1}$ holds, and thus $\gamma \in A$. This proves the assertion (i).

Let f be an automorphism of G fixing all elements of a finite index subgroup A' of A. Theorem 9.1 shows that f is given by either

- $f(g) = g_0 g g_0^{-1}$ for any $g \in G$; or
- $f(g) = g_0 ({}^t g)^{-1} g_0^{-1}$ for any $g \in G$,

for some $g_0 \in GL(V)$, where ${}^t g$ denotes the transpose of a matrix $g \in G$ with respect to the basis Δ. The argument of Zariski closures implies that f fixes all elements of H. We thus have $g_0 \in \mathrm{N}_{GL(V)}(H_i)$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where H_i is the subgroup of H given by

$$H_i = I_{V_1} \otimes \cdots I_{V_{i-1}} \otimes SL(V_i) \otimes I_{V_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{V_n}.$$

It follows from Lemma 9.3 that there exists $g_i \in GL(V_i)$ for each i such that $(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n) g_0 \in GL(V)$ commutes with any element of H. By Lemma 9.2, $(g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n) g_0$ lies in the center of $GL(V)$. We may therefore assume that g_0 is equal to $g_1^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n^{-1}$. This implies that g_i lies in the center of $GL(V_i)$ for each i and that f is the identity. □

Theorem 9.6. *In the notation of Proposition 9.5, we put $\bar{\Gamma} = p(\Gamma)$ and $\bar{A} = p(A)$, where $p\colon SL(V) \to PSL(V)$ is the natural projection. If $n \geq 2$, then the amalgamated free product $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$ is coupling rigid with respect to* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma_0)$. *In particular, Γ_0 is ME rigid.*

Proof. Proposition 9.5 (i) implies the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_{\bar{\Gamma}}(\bar{A}) = \bar{A}$. Theorem 9.1 (ii) and Proposition 9.5 (ii) show that the centralizer of any finite index subgroup of $\bar{A}$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(PSL(V))$ is trivial. Since $\bar{\Gamma}$ is coupling rigid with respect to the pair of $\mathrm{Aut}(PSL(V))$ and the restriction of p to $\bar{\Gamma}$ by Theorem 3.12, the amalgamated free product $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$ fulfills all of the conditions in Assumption (‡). Theorem 7.10 then implies the theorem. □

Note that the projection $p\colon SL(V) \to PSL(V)$ induces the homomorphism from $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ onto $\bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$ whose kernel is equal to the center of $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ and is either trivial or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ is also ME rigid.

In the notation of Proposition 9.5, let us describe $A = \mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ explicitly in the case of $n = 2$. We leave the reader to consider the case of $n \geq 3$. Theorem 1.5 (a) is a consequence of Theorem 9.6 and the following:

Lemma 9.7. *In the notation of Proposition 9.5, we assume $n = 2$. Put*

$$J = (GL(V_1) \otimes GL(V_2)) \cap G$$

and $d_i = \dim V_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then the following assertions hold:

(i) *We have $J_{\mathbb{Z}} < A$ and $J_{\mathbb{Z}} = (GL(V_1)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes GL(V_2)_{\mathbb{Z}}) \cap G$.*

(ii) *If either $d_1 \neq d_2$ or $d_1 = d_2$ and this same number is congruent to 2 modulo 4, then $A = J_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Otherwise, $[A : J_{\mathbb{Z}}] = 2$.*

(iii) *If both d_1 and d_2 are odd, then $J_{\mathbb{Z}} = H_{\mathbb{Z}} = SL(V_1)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes SL(V_2)_{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proof. It is obvious that the inclusion of the assertion (i) holds. We remark that if $g \in GL(V_1)$ and $h \in GL(V_2)$ are represented as the matrices $g = (g_{ij})$ and $h = (h_{kl})$ with respect to the bases Δ_1 and Δ_2, respectively, then $g \otimes h \in SL(V)$ is represented as the matrix the set of whose entries consists of the products $g_{ij}h_{kl}$ for all i, j, k and l, with respect to the basis Δ. This implies that the right hand side of the equation in the assertion (i) is contained in $J_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let $g \in GL(V_1)$ and $h \in GL(V_2)$ such that $g \otimes h \in J_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We verify that if both $\det g$ and $\det h$ lie in $\{\pm 1\}$, then $g \in GL(V_1)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $h \in GL(V_2)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which proves the equation in the assertion (i). It follows from the remark in the previous paragraph that the product $g_{ij}h_{kl}$ is an integer for each i, j, k and l. For each i and j, the determinant of the matrix $(g_{ij}h_{kl})_{k,l}$ is an integer and is equal to $(g_{ij})^{d_2}$ or $-(g_{ij})^{d_2}$. Hence, if $g_{ij} \neq 0$, then g_{ij} is uniquely written as $g_{ij} = s_{ij}(t_{ij})^{1/d_2}$, where s_{ij} is a non-zero integer and t_{ij} is a positive integer such that the power of each prime in the prime factorization of t_{ij} is less than d_2. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $g_{i_1j_1}/g_{i_2j_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each i_1, j_1, i_2 and j_2 with $g_{i_2j_2} \neq 0$. All t_{ij} therefore coincide, and we denote the number by t. The determinant of g is then equal to the product of t^{d_1/d_2} and an integer. Since $\det g$ lies in $\{\pm 1\}$, t must be equal to one. This implies $g \in GL(V_1)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. One can similarly show $h \in GL(V_2)_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We next prove the assertion (ii). Let us set

$$H_1 = SL(V_1) \otimes I_{V_2}, \quad H_2 = I_{V_1} \otimes SL(V_2).$$

If d_1 and d_2 are distinct, then $A = J_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by Lemma 9.4. Suppose $d = d_1 = d_2 \geq 2$. Let $f\colon V_1 \to V_2$ be the isomorphism defined by $f(e^1_j) = e^2_j$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, d$, where we put $\Delta_i = \{e^i_1, \ldots, e^i_d\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. The automorphism φ of V given by

$$\varphi(x \otimes y) = f^{-1}(y) \otimes f(x), \quad x \in V_1,\ y \in V_2$$

then belongs to $GL(V)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is easy to check that φ satisfies $\det \varphi = (-1)^{d(d-1)/2}$ and the equations

$$\varphi H_1 \varphi^{-1} = H_2, \quad \varphi H_2 \varphi^{-1} = H_1.$$

If d is congruent to either 0 or 1 modulo 4, then $\det \varphi = 1$ and φ is in $A \setminus J_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus, $[A : J_{\mathbb{Z}}] = 2$. If d is congruent to 3 modulo 4, then $\det \varphi = -1$. Choose any $a \in GL(V_2)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\det a = -1$. We see that $(I_{V_1} \otimes a)\varphi$ belongs to $A \setminus J_{\mathbb{Z}}$ because $\det(I_{V_1} \otimes a) = (-1)^d = -1$, which implies $[A : J_{\mathbb{Z}}] = 2$.

If d is congruent to 2 modulo 4, then $\det \varphi = -1$ and one can prove the equation $\mathrm{N}_G(H) = J$ as follows: Assume that there exists $g \in G$ such that the equations

$$gH_1g^{-1} = H_2, \quad gH_2g^{-1} = H_1$$

hold. The product φg belongs to $\mathrm{N}_{GL(V)}(H_1)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{GL(V)}(H_2)$. As in the proof of Lemma 9.4, one can find $h_i \in GL(V_i)$ with $\det h_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that the product $\varphi g(h_1 \otimes h_2)$ commutes with any element of H. It follows from Lemma 9.2 that $\varphi g(h_1 \otimes h_2)$ is in the center of $GL(V)$. Since the determinant of any element in the center of $GL(V)$ is positive, this contradicts $\det(\varphi g(h_1 \otimes h_2)) = -1$. As a consequence of the claim, we obtain the equation $A = J_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The equation in the assertion (iii) easily follows from the assertion (i). □

9.2. **Upper block triangular matrices.** Throughout this subsection, k stands for either the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers or the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over k. We mean by a *flag* of V a strictly increasing sequence of subspaces of V from 0 to V. The flag $0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_l \subsetneq V$ of V is said to be of *type* $(d_1, \ldots, d_l)$ if $d_i = \dim V_i$ for each i.

Notation 9.8. Let $\Delta = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$ be a finite sequence of positive integers, and put $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_l$. We denote by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ the standard basis for the vector space k^n over k. For each $i = 1, \ldots, l$, let $k^{(i)}$ be the subspace of k^n spanned by $e_1, \ldots, e_{d_i}$, where $d_i = n_1 + \cdots + n_i$. We denote by $F(\Delta, k)$ the flag corresponding to the sequence of the subspaces

$$0 \subsetneq k^{(1)} \subsetneq k^{(2)} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq k^{(l-1)} \subsetneq k^n.$$

We define the subgroup $P(\Delta, k)$ of $SL(n, k)$ as the subgroup consisting of all matrices in $SL(n, k)$ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & a_2 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_l \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_i \in SL(n_i, k)$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, l$. It is easy to check that $P(\Delta, k)$ fixes the flag $F(\Delta, k)$.

Lemma 9.9. *Let $\Delta = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$ be a finite sequence of positive integers. In Notation 9.8, $F(\Delta, k)$ is the only flag of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_{l-1})$ fixed by the subgroup $P(\Delta, k)$ of $SL(n, k)$.*

Proof. Let U be a subspace of k^n of dimension d_{l-1} which is invariant under $P(\Delta, k)$. Assume that there exists $u = \sum_i \lambda_i e_i \in U$ with $\lambda_i \in k$ such that $\lambda_{i_0} \neq 0$ for some i_0 with $d_{l-1} < i_0 \leq n$. By applying the matrices of the form

$$I + \sum_{i=1}^{d_{l-1}} t_i E_{i i_0} \in P(\Delta, k), \quad t_i \in k$$

to u, we see that U contains $k^{(l-1)}$, where I is the identity n-by-n matrix and E_{ij} is the elementary n-by-n matrix whose (i, j)-entry is one and any other entries are zero. This implies $\dim U > d_{l-1}$, a contradiction. It follows that U is contained in $k^{(l-1)}$, and thus $U = k^{(l-1)}$.

In a similar fashion, one can show that for each $i = 1, \ldots, l-1$, $k^{(i)}$ is the only d_i-dimensional subspace of $k^{(i+1)}$ that is invariant under $P(\Delta, k)$. This proves the lemma. □

Proposition 9.10. *Let $\Delta = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$ be a finite sequence of positive integers such that either $n_1 \geq 2$ or $n_l \geq 2$. We put $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_l$ and*

$$G = SL(n, \mathbb{R}), \quad \Gamma = SL(n, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Define the subgroup A of Γ to be the stabilizer of the flag $F(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ in Γ. Then

- (i) *the equation $\mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A) = A$ holds.*
- (ii) *for each finite index subgroup A' of A, the identity is the only automorphism of G fixing all elements of A'.*

Proof. Pick $\gamma \in \mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A)$. It follows that there exists a finite index subgroup of A contained in $\gamma A \gamma^{-1}$. Since the identity component of the Zariski closure of A in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is equal to $P(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$, γ normalizes $P(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$. Lemma 9.9 implies that γ fixes $F(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$, and thus $\gamma \in A$. This proves the assertion (i).

We next show the assertion (ii). Theorem 9.1 describes all automorphisms of G. Suppose that $g_0 \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ commutes with any element of A' for some finite index subgroup A' of A. It then follows that g_0 commutes with any element in the identity component $P(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ of the Zariski closure of A'. By Lemma 9.9, g_0 fixes the flag $F(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ and is of the form

$$g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 I_{n_1} & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 I_{n_2} & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 I_{n_3} & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_l I_{n_l} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, where I_{n_i} denotes the identity n_i-by-n_i matrix. Let us recall the following elementary fact: We denote by I the identity n-by-n matrix, and denote by E_{ij} the elementary n-by-n matrix whose (i, j)-entry is one and any other entries are zero.

- The matrix $g_0(I + E_{ij})$ is given by adding the i-th column of g_0 to the j-th column of g_0; and
- the matrix $(I + E_{ij})g_0$ is given by adding the j-th row of g_0 to the i-th row of g_0.

Since $g_0(I + E_{ij}) = (I + E_{ij})g_0$ for any $i < j$, g_0 is of the form $g_0 = \lambda I + tE_{1n}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Our assumption that either $n_1 \geq 2$ or $n_l \geq 2$ implies $t = 0$. Therefore, g_0 lies in the center of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. We have shown that any automorphism of G given by the conjugation of an element of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ which fixes any element of A' is the identity. This proves the assertion (ii) in the case of $n = 2$ because any automorphism of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is given by the conjugation of an element of $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Assume $n \geq 3$. Let $g_0 \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and suppose that the automorphism $g \mapsto g_0({}^t g)^{-1} g_0^{-1}$ of G fixes any element of A'. The equation $g_0^{-1} a g_0 = ({}^t a)^{-1}$ then holds for each $a \in A'$. As in the proof of Lemma 9.3, we can deduce a contradiction. This proves the assertion (ii). □

As a consequence of Proposition 9.10, the following theorem can be verified in the same way as the proof of Theorem 9.6, and it proves Theorem 1.5 (b).

Theorem 9.11. *In the notation of Proposition 9.10, we assume* $l \geq 2$ *and put* $\bar{\Gamma} = p(\Gamma)$ *and* $\bar{A} = p(A)$*, where* $p\colon SL(V) \to PSL(V)$ *is the natural projection. Then the amalgamated free product* $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$ *is coupling rigid with respect to* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma_0)$*. In particular,* Γ_0 *is ME rigid.*

10. Miscellaneous examples

This section provides a variety of examples of groups to which discussion so far can be applied. The first subsection gives construction of subgroups of arithmetic lattices which are left-quasi-normalized by themselves, relied on the argument in [6]. The second subsection is focused on the subgroups A of $\Gamma = SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of diagonal blocks (see Notation 10.5 for a precise definition). Given a discrete group Λ which is ME to the amalgamated free product $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$, we observe behavior of the action of Λ on the Bass-Serre tree associated with $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$. We note that these $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ do not fulfill some conditions in Assumption (‡) (see Remark 10.6).

10.1. **Integral points of algebraic subgroups.**

Let us collect the notation and conventions employed in this subsection. We assume all algebraic groups to be linear, and mean by a k-group an algebraic group defined over a field k. The identity component of a k-group G is denoted by G^0. If G is defined over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, then $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ stands for the set of integral points of G. For a subset S of G, we denote by S^- its closure with respect to the Zariski topology.

Proposition 10.1. *Let* G *be a connected reductive* $\mathbb{R}$*-group and* Γ *a discrete subgroup of* $G_{\mathbb{R}}$*. If* T *is a maximal* $\mathbb{R}$*-split torus of* G *such that* $T_{\mathbb{R}}/(T_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \Gamma)$ *is compact, then the following equality holds:*

$$\mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{N}_G(T) \cap \Gamma) = \mathrm{N}_G(T) \cap \Gamma.$$

Proof. This is essentially proved in Proposition 5.1 in [6]. We give a proof for the reader's convenience. Note that the group $(\mathrm{N}_G(T) \cap \Gamma)/(T \cap \Gamma)$ is finite because there is a natural injective homomorphism from it into the group $\mathrm{N}_G(T)/T$, which is finite by 8.10 and 13.17 in [4]. Pick any $\gamma \in \mathrm{LQN}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{N}_G(T) \cap \Gamma)$. It then follows that there exists a finite index subgroup Δ of $T \cap \Gamma$ contained in $\gamma(T \cap \Gamma)\gamma^{-1}$. Passing to the Zariski closure, we see that T is a subgroup of $\gamma T \gamma^{-1}$. By comparing the dimension, we obtain $\gamma \in \mathrm{N}_G(T)$. □

Example 10.2. Let G be a connected semisimple $\mathbb{R}$-group and Γ a lattice in $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. By [25], there always exists a maximal $\mathbb{R}$-split torus T in G with $T_{\mathbb{R}}/(T_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \Gamma)$ compact. We refer to §5 in [6] for examples of maximal tori satisfying the assumption in Proposition 10.1.

Given a connected algebraic $\mathbb{Q}$-group G, let us define $\mathscr{S}(G)$ as the set of all connected $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroups H of G such that

$$(H_{\mathbb{Z}})^- = H \quad \text{and} \quad [(\mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}})^- : H] < \infty,$$

where the closure is taken with respect to the Zariski topology.

Lemma 10.3. *Let* G *be a connected algebraic* $\mathbb{Q}$*-group. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *For each* $H \in \mathscr{S}(G)$*, the equality* $\mathrm{LQN}_{G_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ *holds.*
- (ii) *Let* H *be a connected* $\mathbb{Q}$*-subgroup of* G*. Suppose that* H *is a semisimple group such that the equality* $\mathrm{N}_G(H)^0 = H$ *holds and the semisimple Lie group* $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ *has no compact factor. Then* $H \in \mathscr{S}(G)$*.*

Proof. We owe this lemma to §6 in [6]. Let $H \in \mathscr{S}(G)$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{LQN}_{G_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}})$. There exists a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is a subgroup of $\gamma \mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}\gamma^{-1}$. Taking the closure, we see the inclusion $H < \gamma H \gamma^{-1}$. Hence, $H = \gamma H \gamma^{-1}$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}$. This proves the equality in the assertion (i).

In the notation of the assertion (ii), it is known that $H_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a lattice in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ and that any lattice in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is Zariski dense in H. These two facts are due to [5] and [3], respectively. The equality $(H_{\mathbb{Z}})^- = H$ thus holds. □

Example 10.4. Assume that a connected algebraic $\mathbb{Q}$-group G is $\mathbb{Q}$-simple, that is, there is no connected normal $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of G other than $\{e\}$ and G. Let H be a maximal connected $\mathbb{Q}$-subgroup of G and suppose that H is semisimple and that the semisimple Lie group $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ has no compact factor. The maximality of H implies that $\mathrm{N}_G(H)^0$ equals either G or H. Since G is $\mathbb{Q}$-simple, $\mathrm{N}_G(H)^0$ equals H. Therefore, H lies in $\mathscr{S}(G)$.

Maximal subgroups of the classical groups are classified by Dynkin [8]. For example, when n is even, the symplectic group $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a maximal connected closed subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

10.2. **Diagonal subgroups.** Let us first introduce the notation employed throughout this subsection.

Notation 10.5. We fix positive integers n_1, n_2 and put $n = n_1 + n_2$. Let us set

$$G = SL(n, \mathbb{R}), \quad G_1 = SL(n_1, \mathbb{R}), \quad G_2 = SL(n_2, \mathbb{R}).$$

Given $g_i \in GL(n_i, \mathbb{R})$ for each $i = 1, 2$, we denote by $\mathrm{diag}(g_1, g_2)$ the n-by-n matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}).$$

When we are given two subgroups $H_1 < GL(n_1, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_2 < GL(n_2, \mathbb{R})$, we denote by $\mathrm{diag}(H_1, H_2)$ the subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ consisting of all elements of the form $\mathrm{diag}(g_1, g_2)$ with $g_i \in H_i$ for each i. For a subgroup K of G, we set $K_{\mathbb{Z}} = K \cap SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $p\colon SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \to PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the natural projection. We put

$$H = \mathrm{diag}(G_1, G_2), \quad \Gamma = SL(n, \mathbb{Z}), \quad A = \mathrm{N}_G(H)_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

and put $\bar{\Gamma} = p(\Gamma)$ and $\bar{A} = p(A)$. Finally, let I, I_1 and I_2 be the identities in G, G_1 and G_2, respectively.

This subsection investigates the amalgamated free product $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ or $\bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$. The latter is isomorphic to the quotient of $\Gamma *_A \Gamma$ by its center.

Remark 10.6. In Notation 10.5, the centralizer of A in G contains all matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda^{n_2} I_1, \lambda^{-n_1} I_2)$ for any non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The images of these matrices via the natural projection p are non-trivial if $\lambda \not\in \{\pm 1\}$. Thus, the amalgamated free product $\bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$ does not satisfy the condition (f) in Assumption (‡).

If either n_1 or n_2 is even, then the center of $\bar{A}$ is non-trivial and hence $\bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$ is not coupling rigid with respect to the commensurator by Proposition 8.3.

The following theorem due to Kazhdan-Margulis states the existence of a lower bound of the covolumes of lattices in semisimple Lie groups. This lower bound is directly linked with the size of groups which are commensurable with a lattice in G. As a result, it will be proved that Conditions (V) and (E) introduced in Notation 5.11 are fulfilled for Γ and A in Notation 10.5.

Theorem 10.7 ([26, Corollary 11.9])**.** *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors and m a Haar measure on G. Then there exists a positive constant M satisfying the following: For any discrete subgroup Γ of G, the total volume of the homogeneous space G/Γ with respect to the measure on it induced by m is at least M.*

Corollary 10.8. *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors and Γ a lattice in G. We denote by $\imath\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ the natural homomorphism. Then there exists a positive constant c with the following property: For any subgroup Δ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ with $\Delta \asymp \imath(\Gamma)$, we have the inequality*

$$\frac{[\Delta : \imath(\Gamma) \cap \Delta]}{[\imath(\Gamma) : \imath(\Gamma) \cap \Delta]} \leq c.$$

Proof. Let m be the Haar measure on $\mathrm{Ad}G$. For a discrete subgroup Λ of $\mathrm{Ad}G$, we denote by $m(\mathrm{Ad}G/\Lambda)$ the total volume of $\mathrm{Ad}G/\Lambda$ with respect to the measure on it induced by m. Let Δ be any subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ with $\Delta \asymp \imath(\Gamma)$ and put $\Delta_1 = \Delta \cap \mathrm{Ad}G$. One can then prove the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{[\Delta : \imath(\Gamma) \cap \Delta]}{[\imath(\Gamma) : \imath(\Gamma) \cap \Delta]} &\leq \frac{[\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G) : \mathrm{Ad}G][\Delta_1 : \imath(\Gamma) \cap \Delta_1]}{[\imath(\Gamma) : \imath(\Gamma) \cap \Delta_1]} \\ &\leq [\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G) : \mathrm{Ad}G]m(\mathrm{Ad}G/\imath(\Gamma))m(\mathrm{Ad}G/\Delta_1)^{-1} \\ &\leq [\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G) : \mathrm{Ad}G]m(\mathrm{Ad}G/\imath(\Gamma))M^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

where M is a positive constant obtained by applying Theorem 10.7 to $\mathrm{Ad}G$. Since the index $[\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G) : \mathrm{Ad}G]$ is finite, this inequality proves the corollary. □

Lemma 10.9. *In Notation 10.5, the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *The index $[A : H_{\mathbb{Z}}]$ equals two if $n_1 \neq n_2$, and equals four if $n_1 = n_2$.*
- (ii) *The equation $\mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A) = A$ holds.*
- (iii) *Let $\imath$ denote the natural homomorphism from G into $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$. Then the pair $(\Gamma, (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G), \imath))$ satisfies Condition (V).*
- (iv) *The triplet $\mathscr{T} = (\Gamma, A, (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G), \imath))$ satisfies Condition (E).*

Proof. It is easy to see that any element of $\mathrm{N}_G(H)$ preserves the decomposition of the vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$ into the two subspaces spanned by $e_1, \ldots, e_{n_1}$ and spanned by $e_{n_1+1}, \ldots, e_n$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ denotes the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that the subgroup

$$G_0 = \mathrm{diag}(GL(n_1, \mathbb{R}), GL(n_2, \mathbb{R})) \cap SL(n, \mathbb{R})$$

of $\mathrm{N}_G(H)$ has index one if $n_1 \neq n_2$, and has index two if $n_1 = n_2$. The assertion (i) then follows. The assertions (ii) and (iii) follow from Lemma 10.3 and Corollary 10.8, respectively.

We next prove the assertion (iv). Choose a subgroup Δ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ and a subgroup Δ_0 of Δ with $\Delta \asymp \imath(\Gamma)$ and $\Delta_0 \asymp \imath(A)$. One can prove that $\imath^{-1}(\Delta_0)$ is contained in $\mathrm{N}_G(H)$ as it is contained in $\mathrm{Comm}_G(A)$. Hence, there exists a subgroup of $\imath^{-1}(\Delta_0)$ of index at most two contained in G_0. If $g \in \imath^{-1}(\Delta_0)$ were a matrix of the form $\mathrm{diag}(g_1, g_2)$ with $\det g_1 \neq \pm 1$, then $\imath^{-1}(\Delta_0)$ and A would not be commensurable in G because for an integer n, $g^n \in A$ if and only if $n = 0$. It follows that there exists a subgroup of $\imath^{-1}(\Delta_0)$ of index at most four contained in $\mathrm{diag}(G_1, G_2)$. The assertion (iv) then follows from Corollary 10.8 because $\mathrm{diag}(G_1, G_2)$ is a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors. □

Remark 10.10. It is proved as follows that the triplet $\mathscr{T} = (\Gamma, A, (\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G), \imath))$ in Lemma 10.9 does not satisfy Condition (F) in Notation 5.11 in any case. If either n_1 or n_2 is even, then $\mathrm{diag}(-I_1, I_2)$ or $\mathrm{diag}(I_1, -I_2)$ lies in G. Each of them commutes with any element of H, which implies that Condition (F) does not hold. If both n_1 and n_2 are odd, then one can easily show that Condition (F) is violated by using the element in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ad}G)$ given by the conjugation of $\mathrm{diag}(-I_1, I_2) \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 4.3 proves that if Λ is a discrete group which is ME to $\bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$, then Λ acts on the Bass-Serre tree T for $\bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$. The following theorem gives us information on finiteness properties of this action of Λ on T.

Theorem 10.11. *In Notation 10.5, suppose $n = n_1 + n_2 \geq 3$. If a discrete group Λ is ME to the amalgamated free product $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$, then one can find a subgroup Λ_+ of Λ of index at most two and a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda_+ \to \mathrm{Aut}(T)$ satisfying the following, where T is the Bass-Serre tree associated with the decomposition of Γ_0:*

(i) *For each simplex s of T, the stabilizer Λ_s of s in Λ_+ is virtually isomorphic to $\bar{\Gamma}$ if s is a vertex of T, and to $\bar{A}$ if s is an edge of T. In addition, for each $v \in V(T)$, the action of Λ_v on the link of v is cofinite.*

(ii) *If there exists a uniform upper bound for the cardinalities of finite subgroups of Λ, then the action of Λ_+ on T is cocompact.*

Proof. Since $\bar{\Gamma}$ satisfies the property (T), Lemma 10.9 (ii) shows that the amalgamated free product $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\Gamma} *_{\bar{A}} \bar{\Gamma}$ fulfills the condition in Assumption $(\star)$. Let (Σ, m) be an ME coupling of Γ_0 and Λ. It follows from Corollary 4.3 that there exist a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ and an almost $(\Gamma_0 \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (\mathrm{Aut}^*(T), \imath, \rho)$, where $\imath\colon \Gamma_0 \to \mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ is the homomorphism associated with the action of Γ_0 on T. As discussed in Section 5.1, we may assume that the measure of $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T))$ is positive, and we set

$$\Lambda_+ = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(T)), \quad \Sigma_+ = \Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}(T)).$$

By applying Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 5.9 to the ME coupling Σ_+ of Γ_0 and Λ_+, we see that the stabilizer of s in Λ_+ is virtually isomorphic to $\bar{\Gamma}$ if s is a vertex of T, and to $\bar{A}$ if s is an edge of T. Proposition 5.13 and Lemma 10.9 (iii), (iv) then prove the two assertions in the theorem. ☐

11. ADDITIONAL RESULTS

This final section presents a few consequences of coupling rigidity with respect to commensurators and a brief observation on groups which are ME to free products, without a precise proof. These results can be deduced by techniques which are already known.

11.1. **Lattice embeddings.**

Let Γ be a discrete group and $\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma)$ the virtual center of Γ, i.e., the subgroup of Γ consisting of all elements which centralize a finite index subgroup of Γ. It is easy to check that Γ is ICC if and only if $\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma)$ is trivial. Put $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma)$ and let $q\colon \Gamma \to \bar{\Gamma}$ be the quotient map. It can be easily shown that if $\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma)$ is finite, then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is ICC.

One can determine locally compact second countable groups containing a lattice isomorphic to Γ when $\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma)$ is finite and $\bar{\Gamma}$ is coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma})$. Note that if this is the case, then Γ is coupling rigid with respect to the pair $(\mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma}), \mathbf{i} \circ q)$, where $\mathbf{i}\colon \bar{\Gamma} \to \mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma})$ is the natural homomorphism.

This application of coupling rigidity is originally introduced by Furman [11]. The following theorem is proved along the argument in §2 of [11].

Theorem 11.1. *Let* Γ *be a discrete group such that the virtual center* $\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma)$ *is finite and* $\mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma})$ *is countable. Suppose that* $\bar{\Gamma}$ *is coupling rigid with respect to* $\mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma})$*. Let* $\tau\colon \Gamma \to H$ *be an injective homomorphism into a locally compact second countable group* H *such that the image* $\tau(\Gamma)$ *is a lattice in* H*. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism* $\Phi_0\colon H \to \mathrm{Comm}(\bar{\Gamma})$ *satisfying the following:*

- $K = \ker \Phi_0$ *is compact.*
- *The equation* $\Phi_0(\tau(\gamma)) = \mathbf{i} \circ q(\gamma)$ *holds for any* $\gamma \in \Gamma$*.*
- $H_0 = \Phi_0^{-1}(\mathbf{i}(\bar{\Gamma}))$ *is a finite index subgroup of* H*.*
- $C = \tau(\Gamma) \cap K$ *is equal to* $\tau(\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma))$ *and satisfies the short exact sequence*

  $$1 \to C \xrightarrow{j} \tau(\Gamma) \ltimes K \xrightarrow{p} H_0 \to 1$$

  of groups, where the semi-direct product $\tau(\Gamma) \ltimes K$ *is defined by the action of* $\tau(\Gamma)$ *on* K *by conjugation, and the homomorphisms* j*,* p *are defined by the formulas* $j(c) = (c, c^{-1})$ *and* $p(\tau(\gamma), k) = \tau(\gamma)k$ *for* $c \in C$*,* $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *and* $k \in K$*, respectively.*

In particular, if $\mathrm{vZ}(\Gamma)$ *is trivial, then* H_0 *is isomorphic to* $\tau(\Gamma) \ltimes K$*.*

11.2. **Outer automorphism groups of equivalence relations.** When Γ is an ICC discrete group and is coupling rigid with respect to $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$, one can compute the outer automorphism groups of the equivalence relations for some generalized Bernoulli actions of Γ. We refer to [18] for the notation used below without a precise definition. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be an ergodic f.f.m.p. action, called α, and $\mathcal{R}$ the discrete measured equivalence relation associated with the action. We denote by $\varepsilon\colon \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ the quotient map. Let $\mathrm{Aut}^*(\alpha)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ consisting of all $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu)$ such that there exists $\pi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ satisfying the equation

$$f(\gamma x) = \pi(\gamma) f(x), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \ \text{a.e. } x \in X.$$

We define the subgroup $\mathsf{A}^*(\alpha)$ of $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ as the image of $\mathrm{Aut}^*(\alpha)$ via ε. The following theorem implies that in some cases, the computation of $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ can be reduced to the computation of $\mathrm{Aut}^*(\alpha)$ or $\mathsf{A}^*(\alpha)$.

Theorem 11.2. *Let* Γ *be an ICC discrete group such that* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ *is countable and* Γ *is coupling rigid with respect to* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$*. We define* $S(\Gamma)$ *as the set of all conjugacy classes of* $\mathbf{i}(\Gamma)$ *in* $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$*. Let* $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ *be an ergodic f.f.m.p. action and call it* α*. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$[\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R}) : \mathsf{A}^*(\alpha)] \leq |S(\Gamma)|.$$

In addition, the equality can be attained for some ergodic f.f.m.p. action α *if* $|S(\Gamma)|$ *is finite. If the action* α *is aperiodic, then* $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathsf{A}^*(\alpha)$*.*

This is proved along the same idea as the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [18], where the theorem is proved when Γ is the mapping class group. Note that if $\mathbf{i}(\Gamma)$ is a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$, then $|S(\Gamma)|$ is finite. The following theorem computes $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ if $\mathcal{R}$ is associated with a certain generalized Bernoulli action. The proof is given along the line of the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [18].

Theorem 11.3. *Let* Γ *be the group in Theorem 11.2,* A *an infinite proper subgroup of* Γ *with* $\mathrm{LQN}_\Gamma(A) = A$*, and* (X, μ) *a non-trivial standard probability space, where* *a standard probability space is said to be non-trivial if there is no point in it whose measure is one. If the generalized Bernoulli action* $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)^{\Gamma/A}$ *is essentially free, then the outer automorphism group of the associated equivalence relation is isomorphic to the group*

$$\frac{\mathrm{Aut}(A < \Gamma)}{\mathrm{Ad}(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(A < \Gamma)} \times \mathrm{Aut}(X, \mu),$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(A < \Gamma)$ *is the subgroup of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ *consisting of all automorphisms of* Γ *preserving* A*, and* $\mathrm{Ad}(\Gamma)$ *is the group of inner automorphisms of* Γ*.*

When $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_A \Gamma_2$ is the amalgamated free product in Assumption (‡), it is easy to show that each of the subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_2 is left-quasi-normalized by itself in Γ and associates the generalized Bernoulli action for any non-trivial standard probability space which is essentially free.

11.3. **Groups which are ME to free products.** Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be infinite discrete groups satisfying the property (T), and let $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ be the free product of them. Let $V(T) = \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma/\Gamma_2$ be the set of vertices of the Bass-Serre tree T associated with the decomposition of Γ. We denote by B the group of bijections on $V(T)$ equipped with the standard Borel structure associated with the pointwise convergence topology. Let $\imath\colon \Gamma \to B$ denote the homomorphism arising from the action of Γ on $V(T)$. By using Theorem 2.2 as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we can prove the following:

Proposition 11.4. *The free product* $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$ *is coupling rigid with respect to the pair* $(B, \imath)$*.*

It is obvious that Γ is not generally coupling rigid with respect to the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$ of T because $\mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$ cannot naturally be embedded in $\mathrm{Aut}^*(T)$.

Let Σ be a coupling of Γ and an arbitrary group Λ. Applying Theorem 3.5, one obtains a homomorphism $\rho\colon \Lambda \to B$ with $\ker \rho$ finite and an almost $(\Gamma \times \Lambda)$-equivariant Borel map $\Phi\colon \Sigma \to (B, \imath, \rho)$. Along the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we can prove the following:

Lemma 11.5. *For each vertex* $v \in V(T)$, $\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Stab}(v))$ *is a coupling of* Γ_v *and* Λ_v*, where* $\mathrm{Stab}(v)$ *is the stabilizer of* v *in* B *and we put*

$$\Gamma_v = \imath^{-1}(\imath(\Gamma) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(v)), \quad \Lambda_v = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Lambda) \cap \mathrm{Stab}(v)).$$

In particular, Λ *contains subgroups* Λ_1 *and* Λ_2 *with* $\Gamma_1 \sim_{\mathrm{ME}} \Lambda_1$ *and* $\Gamma_2 \sim_{\mathrm{ME}} \Lambda_2$*.*

Given two distinct vertices $v_1, v_2 \in V(T)$, one can prove that $\Lambda_{v_1} \cap \Lambda_{v_2}$ is finite. It is interesting to ask whether the relation between Λ_{v_1} and Λ_{v_2} is nearly free or not. We note that in general, if Γ_1 and Γ_2 contains an isomorphic finite group F, then Γ and $(\Gamma_1 *_F \Gamma_2) * F$ are ME.

References

[1] S. Adams and R. Spatzier, Kazhdan groups, cocycles and trees, *Amer. J. Math.* **112** (1990), 271–287.

[2] A. Alvarez and D. Gaboriau, Free products, orbit equivalence and measure equivalence rigidity, preprint, arXiv:0806.2788.

[3] A. Borel, Density properties for certain subgroups of semi-simple groups without compact components, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **72** (1960), 179–188.

[4] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second edition. Grad. Texts in Math., 126. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[5] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **75** (1962), 485–535.

[6] M. Burger and P. de la Harpe, Constructing irreducible representations of discrete groups, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **107** (1997), 223–235.

[7] J. E. Dieudonne, On the automorphisms of the classical groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1951).

[8] E. B. Dynkin, The maximal subgroups of the classical groups (Russian), *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.* **1** (1952), 39–166; *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2* **6** (1957), 254–378.

[9] A. Furman, Gromov's measure equivalence and rigidity of higher rank lattices, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), 1059–1081.

[10] A. Furman, Orbit equivalence rigidity, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), 1083–1108.

[11] A. Furman, Mostow-Margulis rigidity with locally compact targets, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **11** (2001), 30–59.

[12] A. Furman, A survey of measured group theory, preprint, arXiv:0901.0678.

[13] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups, in *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2* (Sussex, 1991), 1–295, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[14] L.-K. Hua, On the automorphisms of the symplectic group over any field, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **49** (1948), 739–759.

[15] A. Ioana, J. Peterson and S. Popa, Amalgamated free products of weakly rigid factors and calculation of their symmetry groups, *Acta Math.* **200** (2008), 85–153.

[16] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Grad. Texts in Math., 156. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[17] Y. Kida, Orbit equivalence rigidity for ergodic actions of the mapping class group, *Geom. Dedicata* **131** (2008), 99–109.

[18] Y. Kida, Outer automorphism groups of equivalence relations for mapping class group actions, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **78** (2008), 622–638.

[19] Y. Kida, Measure equivalence rigidity of the mapping class group, preprint, *Ann. of Math.*, to appear.

[20] Y. Kida, Introduction to measurable rigidity of mapping class groups, in *Handbook of Teichmüller theory, Vol. II*, 297–367, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., 13, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2009.

[21] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Classics Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[22] N. Monod and Y. Shalom, Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **164** (2006), 825–878.

[23] C. C. Moore, Ergodicity of flows on homogeneous spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **88** (1966), 154–178.

[24] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **2** (1980), 161–164.

[25] G. Prasad and M. S. Raghunathan, Cartan subgroups and lattices in semi-simple groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **96** (1972), 296–317.

[26] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., 68, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.

[27] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[28] Y. Shalom, Measurable group theory, in *European Congress of Mathematics*, 391–423, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005.

[29] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. III*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 127. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 8. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[30] R. J. Zimmer, *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Monogr. Math., 81. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.

[31] R. J. Zimmer, Groups generating transversals to semisimple Lie group actions, *Israel J. Math.* **73** (1991), 151–159.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, 606-8502 Kyoto, Japan
E-mail address: `kida@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`